Malignant Narcissism, Perception-Adjustment, and The Trait-Behaviour Nexus:

Understanding Dictatorial Extremity



Clare O'Sullivan

This dissertation is submitted in part requirement for the Degree of M.A. (Honours with International Relations) at the University of St Andrews, Scotland, and is solely the work of the above named candidate.

Date of Submission: 23 April 2018

WC: 12,203

Abstract

Conducting a psychological study of Saddam Hussein and Slobodan Milošević, this dissertation investigates dictator psychology and the influence of 'malignant narcissism' on dictatorial inclination to behavioural extremity. Informed by political psychology and psychoanalytic theory, this research contends that the defective superego, paranoia, and grandiose self-conception associated with 'malignant narcissism' propel extreme action. Exemplifying such extremity through the Anfal (1988) and Srebrenica (1995) genocides, this investigation additionally recognises the facilitating role of 'perception-adjustment'. A theoretical model is outlined to highlight the interrelation of 'malignant narcissism' as a specific set of trait scores, associated with Leadership Trait Analysis (LTA).

An empirical study is conducted via LTA content analysis of 100 interview and speech transcripts, alongside evaluation of secondary personality profiles to generate key research materials. Original personality profiles are constructed and evaluated thematically in accordance with LTA's seven trait-framework (belief in control over events, self-confidence, need for power, conceptual complexity, ingroup bias, task focus and distrust of others) to satisfy central research questions. Principal conclusions illuminate both the proposed 'malignant narcissist' trait set and prominent employment of 'perception-adjustment' within the leaders examined, contributing to existing political and psychological research surrounding dictator psychology.

Contents

Abstract	2.
Chapter 1 – Introduction	4.
Chapter 2 – Literature Review	
2.1. Contextual Overview	
2.2. Exploring the Trait-Behaviour Nexus	<u> </u>
2.3. Malignant Narcissism: The Root of Dictatorial Behaviour?	
2.4. The Paranoia Paradox	
2.5. The Defective Superego and 'Perception-Adjustment'	
2.6. Secondary Profiles: Existing Stances on Hussein and Milošević	
2.7. Gaps in the Literature	
Chapter 3 – Design and Methodology	20.
3.1. Data Selection	20.
3.2. Methodological Rationale – A Dualist Approach	21.
3.3. Data Analysis Framework and Hypotheses	23.
Chapter 4 – Results	28.
Chapter 5 – Discussion	
5.1. Overview	
5.2. Methodological Limitations	
5.3. Trait Discussion – Belief in Control Over Events	
5.4. Trait Discussion – Ingroup Bias and Distrust of Others	38.
5.5. Trait Discussion – Self-Confidence and Conceptual Complexity	
5.6. Trait Discussion – Need for Power	
5.7. Trait Discussion – Task Focus	
Chapter 6 – Conclusions	50.
Bibliography	
Appendices	104.

Chapter 1 – Introduction

Diagnosing the 'malignant narcissist' is a complex feat. Lacking a clear and precise identity, the behavioural manifestations of their paranoia, grandiose self-conception, and defective superego illuminate a diagnosis of dictatorial leaders as 'malignant narcissists' (Freud, 1920/2012; Glad, 2002; Post, 1993; Lachkar, 2004). Alongside this, the commonality of dictatorial behaviour across political leadership emphasises the need to expand existing analyses of dictator psychology to diagnose and thereby protect civilians from leaders inclined to "grand crimes" of extreme violence (Glad, 2002: 6; Lasswell, 1948; DiRenzo, 1974). Employing an interdisciplinary approach spanning the fields of 'political psychology' and 'psychoanalysis', this investigation deciphers the rationale underpinning the dictatorial proclivity towards extreme violence.

Theme		Key Research Question(s)
1. Diagnosis of Dictators	i.	To what extent do Hussein and Milošević illustrate whether dictators can be diagnosed as 'malignant narcissists'?
2. Methodological	i. ii.	How effectively does the 'LTA' content analysis approach evince dictator psychology? Can research into dictator psychology rely exclusively on 'collateral information'-based analyses?
3. Traits vs. 'Perception-	i.	How central is 'perception-adjustment' to the
Adjustment'		trait-behaviour nexus?

Figure 1. 'Statement of Aims: Key Research Questions' – Author.

To examine the genocidal extremism of Saddam Hussein (Iraq, 1979-2003) and Slobodan Milošević (Serbia, 1990-2000), this analysis combines Hermann's (1980a; 1999) seven-trait framework with 'malignant narcissism' to facilitate investigation of key 'dictator psychology' research questions (Figure 1). Specifically, through a dualist methodology comprising content analysis and evaluation of 'collateral information'-based (secondary) personality profiles, original profile construction successfully connects 'trait scores' to the concepts of 'malignant narcissism' and 'perception-adjustment'. An introduced concept, 'perception-adjustment' signifies the agentive reconstitution of behaviour and ideas, facilitating the adoption and perpetuation of morally questionable action. Incorporating theoretical assumptions of dissonance-related 'moral disengagement' and 'sanitisation of language', the profile results of Hussein and Milošević underscore interrelation between 'malignant narcissist' traits and the 'perception-adjustment' process (Festinger, 1957; Kelman, 1973 Bandura, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005; Dutton, 2007).

Whilst constructing additional personality profiles would permit greater investigative breadth, the purpose of a Hussein and Milošević comparison is threefold. First, a reduced number of cases permits further investigative depth, therefore increasing the reliability of data results (Chapter 4). Second, spanning dissimilar Iraqi and Serbian political cultures, the behavioural parallels observed reinforce the trait-behaviour nexus (Figure 1) across geographical 'space' – therefore 'de-provincialising' source data (Elkins and Simeon, 1979; Almond, 2000; Kocka, 2003). This is evinced through genocidal repression parallels, bolstering the focal contention that individual psychology propels action (Hermann, 1980a; Byman and Pollack, 2001). Finally, as a 'variable oriented comparative', this comparison involves dictatorial behaviour cases occupying equivalent periods in time (last two decades of the twentieth-century), therefore ensuring the constance of one variable to deliver 'valid' data insights (Ragin, 1987).

Overall, this thesis seeks to enrich existing perspectives on dictator psychology and the trait-behaviour nexus by associating existing 'malignant narcissism' theories with an original formulation of combined LTA trait scores; this culminates in key research question (Figure 1) solutions. First, Chapter 2 surveys and explicates pertinent literature, identifying gaps to be redressed by this investigation's research questions. Second, Chapter 3 presents a comparative methodological framework - predicated upon interpretivist considerations - to obtain and apply data to psychological examinations of Hussein and Milošević (Anfal and Srebrenica). This framework encompasses 100 primary transcripts (50 Hussein/50 Milošević), processed by 'Profiler Plus' software to generate LTA content analysis and quantitative trait scores. Third, Chapter 4 depicts the empirical data (LTA trait scores) procured via this paper's research methodology, juxtaposed with hypothesised results and secondary profile theorists. Fourth, Chapter 5 discusses the alignment and discrepancies across hypothesised and obtained trait scores to spotlight 'malignant narcissism', 'perception-adjustment', and the underpinning traitbehaviour nexus of both leaders. Finally, in Chapter 6, this discussion will be considered thematically, with concluding summations on the design, realisation, and academic significance of the collective investigation.

Chapter 2 – Literature Review

This literature review explicates interdisciplinary assumptions underpinning research of 'malignant narcissism', dictator psychology, and the trait-behaviour nexus (Figure 2).

Theoretical Application	Key Literature	Description	
1. Trait-Behaviour Nexus	i. Leadership Trait	A comprehensive seven-trait	
	Analysis (LTA):	framework investigating leader	
	Hermann (1980a).	personality via content analysis.	
	ii. Critique of Content	Critique of content analysis citing	
	Analysis: Strack (2005);	'collateral information' based research.	
	Millon (1990); Millon		
	and Davis (2000).		
2. Malignant Narcissism	i. Malignant Narcissism	Illustration of the narcissistic condition	
	and Dictator	and malignant narcissism – connecting	
	Psychology: Glad	dictator psychology to malignant	
	(2002); Post (1993).	narcissism.	
3. Paranoia Paradox	i. 'Dictator Dilemma':	Dictatorial paranoia causes insecurity	
	Wintrobe (2000).	once power is obtained.	
4. Defective Superego and	i. Defective Superego:	Introduction to dictator psychology	
'Perception-Adjustment'	Glad (2002); Freud	and the psychoanalytic concept.	
	(1914/1959); Wurmser		
	(2003).		
	ii. 'Perception-	The process of behavioral	
	Adjustment': Bandura	legitimisation to combat dissonance	
	(1999); Festinger	intrinsic to dictator psychology.	
	(1957); Kelman (1973).		
5. Secondary Personality	i. Post (1991, 2005);	Overview of 'collateral information'	
Profiles	Post and Panis (2005);	based secondary personality profiles.	
	Doder and Branson		
	(1999).		

Section 2.1. contextualises psychological discourse surrounding personality analysis. Next, Section 2.2. highlights Hermann's (1980a) LTA. Section 2.3. explores research surrounding the narcissistic condition, with the subcomponent of 'malignant narcissism' (paranoia, grandiosity, and defective superego) linked to dictator psychology. Further, Section 2.4. focalises Wintrobe's (2000) 'Dictator's Dilemma' to emphasise dictator paranoia. Section 2.5. introduces the role of psychological 'perception-adjustment' before key secondary personality profiles are surveyed in Section 2.6. Finally, Section 2.7. welds personality traits and political behaviour, pinpointing the 'gaps' in the literature to be addressed by this thesis.

2.1. Contextual Overview

Personality analysis first secured academic recognition with the psychoanalytical expositions of Freud (1914/1959, 1920/2012) in the early twentieth-century. Advancing these seminal explorations, Lasswell's (1930) assembly of political motivations to activity broadened the academic arena, inspiring the emergence of twentieth century political psychobiographies (Lukacs, 1997; Rosenbaum, 1998; George and George, 1956; Erikson, 1958). Since the onset of the twenty-first century, this academic domain has solidified its validity through consensus surrounding personality traits (Huddy et al., 2013). Whilst Machiavelli (1505/1908) signified "an early precursor of personality-in-politics inquiry", the founding of the 'International Society of Political Psychology' in 1978 established the discipline within academic spheres (Strack, 2005: 198). Nonetheless, investigations connecting psychology and politics prefaced this development, reflecting the growing interest around political psychology's contributions (Wallas, 1908; Lasswell, 1930, 1948; Eysenck, 1954/1999; Greenstein, 1969; Strack, 2005).

2.2. Exploring the Trait-Behaviour Nexus

Within political psychology discourse, the trait-behaviour behaviour nexus has received acclaim and criticism (Byman and Pollack, 2001; Jervis, 2013). Whilst critics such as Jervis (2013) advocate the preponderance of circumstantial influence, support for the trait-behaviour nexus contravenes this position (Druckman, 1968; Byars, 1973; McClelland, 1975; Lefcourt, 1976; Driver, 1977, in Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Hermann and Kogan, 1977, in Druckman, 1977; Ziller et al., 1977, in Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Hermann, 1980a, 1980b, 1984, 1987). Specifically, utilising a dual empirical and foreign policy focus, Hermann's (1980a) in-depth examination of LTA traits as behavioural determinants signifies an academic development of substantial relevance to this investigation (Rankin and Quarrick, 1964; Barber, 1965; Crow and Noel, 1977, in Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Falkowski, 1978; Hermann, 1978, in East, 1978; 1980b).

Analysing "45 heads of government", Hermann (1980a) enriched existing analyses underpinned by solely empirical foci and extended behavioural (aggressive/conciliatory) categories (Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Rankin and Quarrick, 1964; Henderson, 1980; Johnston et al., 1980). This expansion encompassed seven LTA traits: belief in ability to control events; need for power; self-confidence; conceptual complexity; ingroup bias; distrust of others; task focus (Hermann, 1980a; 1999). Critically, by demystifying how leaders' personality traits illuminate their underlying behaviour, this served as a foundation for further research (Bass, 1981; Walker, 1983; Snyder, 1987; Hermann and Hermann, 1989; Stewart, Hermann, and Hermann 1989; Winter et al., 1991; Suedfeld, 1992; Winter, 1992, in Singer and Hudson, 1992; Kaarbo and Hermann, 1988). Warranting this investigation's focus, Hermann (1980a: 9) enriches "interpretations of [...] strategies they [leaders] use" but is constrained by U.S.-centric content analysis and omission of the 'perception-adjustment' process manipulating political outcomes (Hermann and Milburn 1977; East, 1978; Hermann, 1980a).

Extending analysis into Iraq and Serbia with further secondary "collateral information" profiles, inherent disparities in political culture reinforce the validity of this paper's traitbehaviour nexus to remedy these limitations (Strack, 2005; Doder and Branson, 1999; Snyder, 2000; Cohen, 2001; LeBor, 2002; Sell, 2002; Ramet, 2003; Post, 2005). Specifically, the secondary works of Post (2005), Post and Panis (2005), and Doder and Branson (1999) indicate 'perception-adjustment', advancing Hermann's (1980a; 1999) LTA framework validity through qualitative (interpretivism – Chapter 3.) personality profiling of Hussein and Milošević (Strack, 2005).

2.3. Malignant Narcissism: The Root of Dictatorial Behaviour?

Magnifying the trait-behaviour nexus to account for autocratic structures, this paper posits that dictatorial leaders possess 'malignant narcissism' constituting "not only the selfinflation of all narcissistic types, but also greater aggression and deficiency in his superego development" (Post, 1993: 113; Glad, 2002: 21). Particularly, the notions of defective ego, "grandiose" self-conception, and "paranoia", are central to this thesis' political behaviour remit (Glad, 2002: 2).

Significantly, Glad (2002: 1) developed this literature by exploring 'malignant narcissist' leaders and their "severe superego deficiencies" (Tucker, 1990; Robins and Post, 1997). Bolstering this paper's acknowledgements of paranoia and 'perception-adjustment', Glad (2002:1) states: "[malignant narcissists] may have some advantages in rising to power [...] once he has consolidated his position his reality-testing capacities diminish [and] paranoid

defences become more exaggerated" (Festinger, 1957; Bandura, 1999). Furthermore, Glad's (2002) depiction of dictators aligns with Hermann's (1980a: 12, 13) evaluation of political outcomes resulting from personality traits (Kernberg, 1998, in Ronningstam, 1998; Houlcroft et al., 2012; Glad, 2002). This is reflected within the observation of the "need to manipulate and control [...] suspiciousness of others" characteristics (Hermann, 1980a: 11, 12). Critically, this investigation's theoretical propositions are reinforced by Glad's (2002) categorisation of dictatorial leaders as 'malignant narcissists' (Figure 3; Waite, 1977; Volkan, 1988; Tucker, 1990; Post, 1991 and 1993; Hershman and Lieb, 1994).



Power and the Malignant Narcissist: Interactive Effects

1. Grandiosity and ability to employ antisocial tactics provide advantages in securing political power in certain situations.

2. Political power used to buttress grandiose self image, defend against external criticism, provide company, bolster splitting and paranoiac defense.

3. But consolidation of absolute power for the malignant narcissist is apt to lead to a vicious cycle:

a. Orchestrated adulation and friendships feel false.b. Grandiose plans lead to rash behavior; this and ruthless political tactics create new enemies, other impediments to success.

c. Project over-reach and creation of new enemies leads to increasing vulnerability, a deepening of the paranoiac defense, and volatility in behavior.

Figure 3. 'The Paradox of the Tyrant' – Glad, 2002.

The conclusions of Glad's (2002: 6) 'The Paradox of the Tyrant' (Figure 3.) – asserting that there are "self-defeating tendencies" - strengthen a central proposition of this thesis: dictator behaviour is propelled by paranoia, grandiosity, and misjudgement of environment (defective superego). Nevertheless, whilst echoed by Kets de Vries and Miller (1985), Hermann (1980a), and Rosenthal and Pittinsky (2006: 618), Glad's (2002: 6) assertion that "tyrants" tend to "overreach in [...] designs for aggrandisement" due to "egotistical needs for power and admiration", is open to critique. Chapter 3.'s hypotheses instead contend that 'malignant narcissists' possess low desires for power, rising to positions of political authority via paranoia and belief in self-exceptionalism. Correspondingly, this thesis adjusts Glad's (2002:6) model of "malignant narcissism" traits to indicate that dictator personalities hold high self-esteem. Finally, Glad (2002:1) asserts that political power can "buttress" a "grandiose selfimage", "defend against external criticism", "provide company, bolster splitting, and paranoiac defence". However, of these stipulations, Chapter 5. solely evidences power as a tool satiating the paranoia and grandiosity (self-esteem) of Hussein and Milošević. Preceding Glad (2002), Post's (1993) seminal contribution was influenced by the principal studies of Freud (1914/1959; 1920/2012), Kohut (1971, 1977, 1978, 1984), Kernberg (1975), Volkan (1984,1988), Strozier (1983, in Goldberg, 1983) and Zonis (1991), and impacted Rosenthal and Pittinsky (2006), and Weeks (2012). Offering significant insight into 'malignant narcissism' and political outcomes, Post's (1993) observations of narcissists' overestimation of self-ability furthers Hermann's (1980a) cognitive perspective of belief systems.

Adopting a clinical summation of "Narcissistic Personality Disorder", Post (1993: 100) emphasises a juxtaposition of "grandiose fantasises of power" or "glorious ambition" with "hypersensitivity to criticism", "lack of empathy", "self-esteem" and a "need for constant attention and admiration" (American Psychiatric Association, 1988; Rosenthal and Pittinsky,

2006). With both high self-conception and "sense of special unworthiness", Post's (1993: 100, 102, 103) analysis parallels Glad (2002), proposing that "fantasies" of grandiosity are a primary motivation of narcissism – "a search for recognition and adulation" that causes individuals to pursue powerful political positions.

Nonetheless, in the case of Hussein and Milošević, Chapter 5. emphasises that grandiosity exists not as a fantasy but as a feature inherently possessed (self-conception). Additionally, Glad's (2002: 6) "paradox" assumptions of a dictator's existence diverge from Post's (1993: 103) postulations of "pseudo-sublimatory" success by relating success in obtaining power to self-sabotage once possessing it (Kernberg, 1975). This divergence underscores Post's (1993: 103) view that "the goal of [narcissists'] efforts" is truthfully "exhibitionistic, to gain recognition, fame, and glory". Critiqued in Chapter 5., this thesis therefore grants the validity of Glad's (2002: 6) "paradox" as fuelled by 'malignant narcissism's paranoia over any "paradox" of low self-esteem.

Moreover, Post (1993) relates to 'perception-adjustment' by affirming that narcissists craft 'selfobject' relationships to heighten the narcissist's self-esteem (Kohut, 1971). Seconded by Vaknin (2010), Post (1993: 109) therefore asserts that narcissists have "surrounded [themselves] with sycophants", causing them to be "totally out of touch with political reality". Chapter 5.'s discussions align with this observation, countering only the assumption that this emerges from low self-esteem; characterised by Hussein and Milošević, paranoid distrust of others is instead discovered to propel this construction (Goldner-Vukov and Moore, 2000; Post and Panis, 2005). Second, Post (1993: 109, 114) cites "narcissistic rage" that intimidates subordinates and quells independent thought - emphasising how the "grandiose façade of the narcissist rests upon a foundation of insecurity" (Kohut, 1972; Kernberg, 1975). Again, this

investigation contends that paranoid distrust of others serves as the foundation for this "rage" (Post, 1993: 114). Congruently, crystallising this thesis' theoretical premise and its connection to dictatorial 'perception-adjustment', Post (1993: 110) alludes to judgements and positions rapidly shifting "without a qualm of conscience [...] as 'circumstances change'" (Festinger, 1957; Kelman, 1973; Bandura, 1999). Such nuance is critical to Chapter 5's discussion of paranoia and low belief in ability to control events traits that ultimately presage dictator fear of the adversary and extreme political action (Anfal, 1988; Srebrenica, 1995).

2.4. The Paranoia Paradox

Wintrobe (2000: 20, 24) conceptualises paranoia in dictators as a "Dictator's Dilemma", concurring with Chapter 5. that actions such as "violence", policy "contrary to the material interests" of subjects, and "delight in the exercise of power [servitude imposed]" stem from paranoia: "the tyrant could trust no one, not even those closest to him" (Veyne, 1990: 45). This aligns with Hermann (1980a), Glad (2002), second personality profiles based on "collateral information", and this paper's discussion (Strack, 2005: 198; Post and Panis, 2005; Doder and Branson, 1999). However, Post's (1993: 109) supposition of trust – with narcissistic leaders trusting an inner circle of "selfobject sycophants" – contradicts Wintrobe's (2000) analysis of dictators. A theoretical divergence is thus caused by Post's (1993) narcissistic leader focus, and Wintrobe's (2000) extensive dictator evidence base across space and time (Ancient Rome, Nazi Germany, Soviet Union, South Africa, and Chile). Significantly, Wintrobe's (2000) source base bolsters the cross-cultural parallels proposed by this paper's diagnosis of Hussein and Milošević. In addition, whilst Wintrobe (2000) echoes Glad (2002) and Post (1993) – that "the more power dictators have, the more insecure they are" – he asserts that this is induced by the "absence of a legitimate, regularised procedure for the dictator's removal

from office" (Wintrobe, 2000: 39). Contrastingly, Glad (2002) and Post's (1993) assignation of leaders' inherent paranoia aligns with my argument of consistent trait-based insecurity.

2.5. The Defective Superego and 'Perception-Adjustment'

Respectively, the notions of 'defective superego' and 'perception-adjustment' are significant for their influence over the individual's misreading of environmental cues, and role in permitting extreme and unwarranted modes of behaviour (Festinger, 1957; Kelman, 1973; Bandura, 1999; Huttenbach, 1999, in Chorbajian and Shirinian, 1999; Baum, 2008; Monroe, 2008). Although the psychoanalytical field is divided over the utility of the Freudian superego concept, its metaphorical symbolism of "the inner judge" and "ethical-moral ego" signifies its relevant within investigations surrounding the amoral extremities of dictator behaviour (Freud, 1914/1959; Brenner, 2002; Lichtenberg, Lachmann, and Fosshage, 1996; Wurmser, 2003; Kant, 1797-1798/1983, in Wurmser, 2003; Roth, 2001). Aligning with Glad's (2002) assessment (Figure 3.), this thesis contends that "deficient superego development" results in a defective superego and subsequent misinterpretation of environmental cues; furthered by 'perception-adjustment, this legitimises extreme actions at a conscious and subconscious level to reduce cognitive dissonance (Freud, 1920/2012; Festinger, 1957; Kernberg, 1998, in Ronningstam, 1998; Bandura, 1999; Glad, 2002; Houlcroft et al., 2012).

Notably, with reference to the diffusion of responsibility, Bandura (1999: 193, 195, 196) informs the 'perception-adjustment' methods facilitating political action through the concept of "moral disengagement". Linked to "self-valuation" and Post's (1993) self-esteem analysis, such cognitive restructuring tactics comprise "moral justification", "euphemistic labelling", and "advantageous comparison" (Bandura, 1999: 196; Kellman, 1973; Dutton, 2007; Opotow, 1990). Collectively, Bandura (1999: 193) accentuates 'moral disengagement'

as arising from "the cognitive restructuring of inhumane conduct into a benign or worthy one", therefore advocating safeguards to "uphold compassionate behaviour and renounce cruelty". However, this proposal is inconsistent when viewed in conjunction with both Bandura's (1999: 193) first imperative of "humane personal standards", and this research's premise that extreme action is adopted from traits and 'perception-adjustment' legitimacy. Beyond this, displacement of responsibility is of considerable importance (Milgram, 1974; Bandura, 1999). Bandura (1999: 196) demystifies how authority structures succeed in "obscuring or minimising the agentive role in the harm one causes", highlighting the 'dehumanisation' and 'attribution of blame' of 'perception-adjustment'. Dictators – the apex of such structures – are thus conveyed as employing this process through belief in the morality of personal actions (Bandura, 1999: 200, 203; Post, 1993).

From the perspective of Glad (2002) and Post (1993), 'malignant narcissism' thus detracts 'blame' from the dictator and renders others as threatening (paranoia) – culminating in unaccountability and violent inclinations to quell perceived 'threats'. Finally, Bandura's (1999: 207) conclusions mirror Chapter 5, stating that "the massive threats to human welfare stem mainly from deliberate acts of principle rather than from unrestrained acts of impulse". Here, Bandura (1999) alludes to a central tenet of Post (1993) that dictators alter perceptions in response to circumstance, therefore attributing actions to rational 'morality' and environmental cues filtered through a defective superego (Post, 1993). Overall, this results in contextual adjustment of principles, enabling political action to align with reformed principles.

2.6. Secondary Profiles: Existing Stances on Hussein and Milošević

Whilst the discussed literature delivers a theoretical foundation for the psychological analysis of dictators, a comprehensive psychological investigation warrants specific recognition of existing stances surrounding Hussein and Milošević. Beyond Post's (1993) contributions to narcissism theory, his personality profile of Hussein identifies a "malignant narcissist" psychology from behavioural characteristics (Post, 1991: 283, 1995). With "collateral information" - "direct observations from multiple [close] sources" - utilised to inform this diagnosis, Post's (1991; 1995) Hussein profiles benefit the intentions of this investigation's dualistic analysis (Strack, 2005: 198). Similarly, Post and Panis' (2005) examination of their "courtroom conduct" advances this, underscoring key psychological parallels ("personalities and political behaviour") of 'malignant narcissism' (manipulation of surrounding and dismissal of realities) between Hussein and Milošević (Post and Panis, 2005: 823; Strack, 2005: 198). Finally, through a "collateral-information" based profile, the contributions of Doder and Branson (1999) elucidate the implications of Milošević's experiences on personal dictator psychology (Strack, 2005: 198). As discussed in Chapter 3., alongside wider consultation of secondary personality profiles, reference to such secondary contributions advances this paper's investigative validity by remedying the methodological limitations of primary content analysis (Snyder, 2000; Cohen, 2001; LeBor, 2002; Sell, 2002; Ramet, 2003; Shaw, 2003; Winter, 2005, in Post, 2005; Weintraub, 2005, in Post, 2005).

2.7. Gaps in the Literature



Figure 4. 'Dictator Psychology: Explaining Extreme Behaviour' – Author.

Existing literature surrounding the trait-behaviour nexus outlines its strong correlation and potential for further analytical application (Rankin and Quarrick, 1964; Barber, 1965; Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Falkowski, 1978; Hermann, 1974, in Rosenau, 1974; Henderson, 1980; Johnston et al., 1980; Byman and Pollack, 2001). Specifically, Hermann's (1980a) emphasis on leader personality and foreign policy accentuates the relevance of surveying individual characteristics, with Glad (2002) and Post (1993) reinforcing this through narcissistic behavioural manifestations. As discussed, Wintrobe (2000) furthers this through observations of paranoia and autocratic behaviour; Bandura's (1999) indication of 'perceptionadjustment' additionally underpins this nexus by evincing the translation of internal motivations into justified action. Nevertheless, comparative critique illustrates the unexplored analytical gap that my research seeks to redress within Figure 4's model. In particular, the texts' outline of 'malignant narcissism' warrants revision when applied to dictatorships; remedying this, my research advocates the interrelation of paranoia, grandiosity, defective superego, and 'perception-adjustment' when explaining extreme political behaviour (Anfal and Srebrenica). Ultimately, Chapter 3. advocates the formation of an interpretivist model that combines aspects of 'malignant narcissism' and 'perception-adjustment' with a specific combination of LTA trait scores to better demystify dictatorial behaviour (Figure 4). Review of the existing literature spotlights the necessity for research that can advance understanding of the personality-behaviour interaction. By developing existing interdisciplinary perspectives, this thesis therefore seeks to yield further academic insight of dictatorial outputs.

Chapter 3 – Design and Methodology

This chapter outlines the dualist methodology deployed to "generate research materials" that satisfy this thesis' key research questions in Figure 1. (Whatmore, 2003). First, Section 3.1. charts this paper's data selection, spotlighting justifications for comparative investigation of the leaders' psychology. Informed by this remit, Section 3.2. describes the analytical research methods adopted, appraising both content analysis and secondary perspectives. Finally, Section 3.3. conceptualises hypotheses across trait (LTA) scores, providing a comparative framework for the obtained results in Chapter 5.

3.1. Data Selection

Obtaining "spontaneous" information for content analysis is key (Hermann, 1999: 2, 1980a, 1986; Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Winter et al., 1991). With interviews perceived as more spontaneous than speeches, LTA content prioritised this source, reverting to speeches when interviews were unobtainable. For accuracy, these data sources sampled from the month of presidential assumption to within six to nine months preceding the selected focal episode of violence (17th July, 1979 – 29th August, 1987 for Hussein; 9th May, 1989 – 20th October, 1994 for Milošević). Collectively, 68,343 words were analysed for Milošević (60,726 gathered from 45 interviews and 7,617 from 5 speeches), and 137,897 words were analysed for Hussein (48,270 gathered from 16 interviews and 65,437 gathered from 34 speeches)¹. Critically, spanning leaders' tenures, this data range augments profile construction whilst averting coverage of "crisis behaviour"; here, crises cause leaders to "experience stress", thereby accentuating false trait-profiles (Hermann, 1999: 38). Concordant with this data selection, 'collateral information' incorporating analysis of 26 secondary personality profiles elevates investigative validity and extends qualitative data scope (Post, 2005; Post and Panis, 2005;

¹ Full details for all one-hundred samples are located in Appendices A (Hussein) and B (Milošević).

Winter, 2005, in Post, 2005; Snyder, 2000; Ramet, 2003; Doder and Branson, 1999; Shaw, 2003; Post, 1991; Millon and Davis, 2000; Greenstein, 1987; Strack, 2005).

Social scientific comparative data selections are imperative for documenting "crosssocietal" patterns via the "de-provincialising" of data (Slater and Ziblatt, 2013; Mahoney and Rueschemeyer, 2003; Kocka, 2003: 39; Ragin, 1987; Barro and Sala-I-Martin, 1992). With selections of Hussein and Miloševic, a 'variable oriented comparative' approach is necessitated; this maintains a constant variable (time) across two distinct cases to remedy the 'incommensurability' of corresponding cultures, thereby enabling observation of psychological commonality across space (Ragin, 1987; Keane, 2005: 223; Povinelli, 2001).

3.2. Methodological Rationale – A Dualist Approach

Based on this qualitative data selection, a dualistic methodology across primary LTA ratings and secondary profiling is chosen to inform this paper's hypotheses (Section 3.2.) and key research questions (Figure 1.). Supporting this position, Strack (2005: 198) challenges a reliance on "content analysis of speeches and published interviews" when "direct observations from multiple sources [collateral information]" exist "in the public record". Evaluation of 'collateral information'-based secondary research therefore elevates investigative validity via "the patterning of personality variables 'across the entire matrix of the person" (Strack, 2005: 200; Millon and Davis, 2000: 65, in Strack, 2005: 200). From the primary data perspective, LTA profiling presents a reliable form of content analysis, with Hermann (1999) citing a 0.84 average correlation with 'collateral information'-based results (Strack, 2005). To further reliability, this paper investigation utilises Young's computerised 'Profiler Plus' software to conduct primary LTA analysis with reduced human error and subjectivity. Collectively, this dualist methodology offers a systematic quantitative coding process for each trait across

primary and secondary research: data "familiarisation"; code "generation"; thematic search; review; definition; evaluation of amalgamated data (Figure 7; Braun and Clarke, 2006; Strack, 2005; Hermann, 1999).

Whilst computerised content analysis ('Profiler Plus') produces data quantitatively, interpretivist evaluation of secondary research critiques positivist assumptions surrounding the existence of objective meaning "independently of consciousness" (Collins, 2010). Nevertheless, Payne's (1951) disbelief that reducing "the complex matter of people's attitudes [...] to some simple wording that will not bias the returns" emphasises an intrinsic investigative drawback of interpretivism (Dudovskiy, 2016). This impact is mitigated through the dualist approach combining 'small-N' and 'large-N' features – interweaving quantitative content analysis results (100 transcripts computerised by 'Profiler Plus') with qualitative evaluation of secondary materials (Jordan et al., 2011; Mahoney and Goertz, 2006). Further, this method resolves Goldthorpe (1991; 1997) and Kiser and Hechter's (1991; 1998) consternation surrounding comparative research; here, 'Profiler Plus' content analysis safeguards against overreliance on secondary sources' "explanatory principles".

Significantly, epitomising the pinnacle of Hussein and Milošević's extremity, the Anfal (1988) and Srebrenica (1995) genocides are selected to assist dualistic profile construction of personality traits. First, the Anfal "extermination campaign" (1988) exemplifies extreme brutality with "at least 50,000 Kurdish civilians" killed and "2000 villages destroyed" (Human Rights Watch, 1993: 1; Hughes, 2003). As explored in Chapter 5., this case most strongly emphasises Hussein's personality traits (LTA) with transgression of anti-genocide and chemical weapons U.N. laws, "gross violations of human rights", mass executions, imprisonment, and displacement (Human Rights Watch, 1993: 1). Second, within Milošević's

advocation of a nationalist 'Greater Serbia', the Srebrenica massacre (1995) of the Bosnian Muslim population mirrored Hussein's objective of purging the Kurds from Iraq (Stevanovic and Filipovic, 2004; Post and Panis, 2005; Vladisavljevik, 2004). Characterising "the worst massacre in Europe since World War II", Srebrenica was similarly "cleansed" with the mass execution of "eight thousand Bosniaks" and "forced transfer of women and children" (Brunborg, et al., 2003: 229; Delpla et al., 2012:1; Vollen, 2001: 336). Overall, both cases expose the 'malignant narcissism' propelling dictator behaviour with 'perception-adjustment' necessary to legitimise genocidal extremity of response (Figure 4). Situated within the wider conflicts of the Iran-Iraq War (1980-1988) and Bosnian War (1992-1995), this buttresses the ability of data analysis to decipher dictator inclinations to extremity in comparable contexts.

3.3. Data Analysis Framework and Hypotheses

Leader	Belief in	Need	Self-	Conceptual	Ingroup	Distrust	Task
	Control Over	for	Confidence	Complexity	Bias	of	Focus
	Events	Power				Others	
Hussein	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High	High
Milošević	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High	High

Figure 5. 'Hypotheses: LTA Trait Scores for Hussein and Milošević' – Author.

Score Type	Belief in Ability to Control	Need for Power	Self- Confidence	Conceptual Complexity	Ingroup Bias	Distrust of Others	Task Focus
High	Events 0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7
Moderate	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6
Low	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5

Figure 6. 'LTA Average Norming Group Scores' – Author; Hermann (1999).

Trait	Mode of Measurement	High-Scoring Leaders	Low-Scoring Leaders	
Belief in	Verbs indicating	Prefer "control over decision	Reactive; risk-averse; likely to	
Control	"responsibility" for	making and implementation"	"shift blame".	
Over	"action".	to compromise.		
Events				
Need for	Verbs indicating	"Highly machiavellian".	Prioritise group goals; build	
Power	"forceful action";	Utilise rules and charisma to	morale.	
	"endeavours to impress".	mask exploitative leadership.		
Self-	Personal pronouns (my,	Fixed opinions; new	Easily manipulated by contextual	
Confidence	myself, I, me, and mine).	information is "ignored or	changes; inconsistent behaviour.	
		transformed [] to maintain		
		consistency in behaviour".		
Conceptual	Words implying	Consider a wide range of	Highly structured world-view; act	
Complexity	flexibility/rigidity: i.e.	possibilities and "seek a	prior to considering or searching	
	"approximately,	variety of perspectives".	for further information.	
	possibility"; "absolutely,			
	without a doubt".			
Ingroup	Modifiers that are	Desire distinct group	Flexibly classify actors as	
Bias	"favourable", suggest	identity; black-and-white	"we/them"; favour diplomacy	
	"strength" or "group	world-view; "friends and	and interpersonal interaction.	
	honour and identity".	enemies" categories.		
Distrust of	Nouns/noun phrases	Suspicious; "hypersensitive	Trust/distrust is informed by	
Others	referring to other	to criticism". High scores	experience and knowledge;	
	persons/groups.	convey paranoia.	realistic judgement.	
Task focus	"Task-oriented words	Prioritise group goals; this	Relationship establishment and	
	relative to the total	focus motivates the pursuit	management prioritised;	
	number of task and	of leadership. "Task	"loyalty" and "morale" are key.	
	group-maintenance	masters"; problem-focused	Prioritise the group over the	
	words".	world view, perceiving their	common objective.	
		group as responsible for		
		creating solutions. Prioritise		
		issues over individuals.		

Figure 7. 'LTA Content Analysis' – Author; Hermann (1999); Hermann and Milburn
(1977).

Based on the content analysis ('Profiler Plus') component of the discussed dualistic approach, this paper theorises a correlation between 'malignant narcissism' and hypothesised LTA scores (Figure 5). To clarify the conversion of numerical scores to low/moderate/high categories, results must match or fall below the normative scores drawn from Hermann's (1999) investigation of 87 heads of state and 122 political leaders (Figure 6.). This combination of trait scores is predicted to manifest within secondary profiles of Hussein and Milošević's severe violence and incongruent responses to environmental cues (Anfal and Srebrenica). Coded results for each trait are therefore analysed independently and comparatively to illuminate key themes and "patterns" informing further assumptions of leader characteristics (Figure 7.; Miles and Huberman, 1994: 246). In summary, whilst 'belief in control', 'need for power', and 'task focus' are hypothesised autonomously, scores for 'self-confidence', 'conceptual complexity', 'ingroup bias', and 'distrust of others' are of greater use to the research questions (Figure 1) through a comparative lens.

3.3.1. Hypothesis – Belief in Control Over Events: Low

The leaders are predicted to score lowly in this trait, based upon Hermann's (1999) assertions that high-scoring leaders are risk-averse and reactive (Figure 5., Figure 7.). As evidenced by secondary profiles, neither leader demonstrated such characteristics, pursuing extreme solutions to detected challenges and absolute control over decision-making (Hermann, 1999; Alani, 2000; Post, 2005).

3.3.2. Hypothesis – Need for Power: Low

Although Hermann (1999) outlines low-scoring individuals as group-goal orientated, this investigation predicts that Hussein and Milošević will be low-scoring; this results from the interrelation of low belief in control over events and high distrust of others with low need for power, forging paranoia that incentivises the pursuit to positions of power. Hermann's (1999) illustration of high-scoring individuals for this trait strengthens this position; whilst charisma masks exploitation, unconcealed exploitation and brutality within both leaders' regimes is observed (Figure 7.) (Human Rights Watch, 1993; Brunborg et al., 2003).

3.3.3. Hypothesis – Task Focus: High

The problem-focused world-view of high task focussed individuals bolsters the prediction that both leaders score highly (Figure 7., Figure 5.). Notwithstanding Hermann's (1999) proposal that group goals motivate high task focussed individuals to leadership, the paranoia of high distrust overwhelms this consideration by framing extreme behaviour as effective (Anfal and Srebrenica) (Figure 7.).

3.3.4. Hypothesis - Self-Confidence and Conceptual Complexity: High; Low

A comparative examination of self-confidence and conceptual complexity scores illustrates whether an individual is open to new interpretations of their environment, or closed to environmental pressures; if closed, individuals are prone to 'perception-adjustment' and thus reinterpret information to "fit their view of the world" (Ziller et al., 1977, in Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Hermann, 1999: 18). Leaders whose conceptual complexity scores are lower than scores for self-confidence reflect the secondary profiles of Hussein and Milošević that this hypothesis (high; low) aligns with (Figure 5.; Post and Panis, 2005; Post, 1991; Al-Marashi, 2003; Blum et al., 2008; Davis, 2006). Such leaders are inflexible to environmental cues, "reinterpreting" the "environment", and facilitating control over decision-making (Figure 7.; Hermann, 1999: 18).

3.3.5. Hypothesis – Ingroup Bias and Distrust of Others: Low; High

These two traits convey a leader's "way of approaching the world", illustrating the confrontationality of their state, their likelihood to adopt initiatives, and "when they are likely to engage in economic sanctions and military interventions" (Figure 7.; Hermann, 1999: 27; Levine and Campbell, 1972; Driver, 1977, in Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Kelman 1983; Vasquez, 1993; Snyder, 1991; Hagan, 1994, 1995, in Neak et al., 1995; Hermann and Kegley, 1995). Aligning with my hypotheses, low-high scores indicate "vigilant" leaders – reflecting the wariness of both leaders towards the Kurdish and Bosnian Muslim populations (Figure 5.) (Hermann, 1999: 28; Dawisha, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005). Moreover, Hermann's (1999) assertion that low ingroup bias denotes flexible classification of actors as 'we/them' corresponds with the prediction that Hussein and Milošević select and switch alignment with others based on pragmatic security benefits (Figure 7.; Dawisha, 1999; Sassoon, 2011). Finally, the coupling of high distrust scores to paranoia upholds the hypothesised high scores for both leaders (Figure 7.; Figure 5.; Hermann, 1999).

Chapter 4 – Results

Through comparison with the norming group LTA scores provided by Hermann (1999), Hussein and Milošević's average results are identified into low/moderate/high groupings.² This categorisation permits comparison with the hypothesised results, facilitating critique and evaluation of the investigative method whilst encouraging comparison with 'collateral information'-based secondary research. The results of this investigation are presented in Figure 9., with a comparison to norming group scores (Figure 6.) graphed in Figure 8. to support interpretation of results. Additionally, Figure 9. presents theoretical groupings of secondary research, highlighting the nature of the leaders' depictions within secondary profiles and summarising their implied trait scores.



Figure 8. 'Score Comparison: Average LTA Scores: Hussein, Milošević, and Norming Comparison Group' – Author and Herman (1999).

² Appendix A; Appendix B..

Trait	Predicted	Obtained	Obtained	Secondary Literature: Scores and Key
	Scores	Scores:	Scores:	Themes
		Hussein	Milošević	
Belief in	Low	0.4	0.3	Systematic rationalisation of extremity; doubt
Control		(Moderate)	(Low)	from trauma (low scores) (Post, 1991, 2005;
Over				Al-Marashi, 2002, 2003; Post and Panis, 2005;
Events				Doder and Branson, 1999; Sell, 2016; Scharf,
				2002; Blum et al., 2008; Davis, 2006).
Need for	Low	0.3	0.3	Pre-existing sense of power; extremity as
Power		(Low)	(Low)	protection (low scores) (Shaw, 2003; Ramet,
				2003; Ezrow and Frantz, 2011; Post, 2005).
Self-	High	0.3	0.6	Grandiose self-conception; inappropriate
Confidence		(Low)	(Moderate)	conduct (high scores) (Doder and Branson,
				1999; Post and Panis, 2005; Rubin and
				Hewstone, 1998; Tajfel, 2010).
Conceptual	Low	0.6	0.5	Inappropriate conduct (low scores) (Doder and
Complexity		(High)	(Moderate)	Branson, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005).
Ingroup	Low	0.2	0.2	Pragmatism; distrust from trauma (low scores)
Bias		(Low)	(Low)	(Post, 1993, 2003; Dawisha, 1999; Post and
				Panis, 2005; Sassoon, 2011; Black, 1993;
				Milutinovic, 2017; Doder and Branson, 1999).
Distrust of	High	0.2	0.1	Pragmatic relations and action; distrust from
Others		(Low)	(Low)	trauma (high scores) (Post, 1993, 2003;
				Dawisha, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005;
				Sassoon, 2011; Tournaye, 2003; Black, 1993;
				Milutinovic, 2017; Doder and Branson, 1999).
Task Focus	High	0.5	0.6	Protection of position (high scores) (Post and
		(Moderate)	(Moderate)	Panis, 2005; Vladisavljevik, 2004; Alani,
				2000; Sell, 2003; Scharf, 2003, 2007;
				Peterson, 2007).

Figure 9. 'Thesis Results: Comparison of Milošević and Hussein's Average LTA Scores' – Author; Hermann (1999). As displayed within Figure 9., the results of the LTA investigation present significant divergence from the hypothesised scores, whereas information supplied by secondary profiles demonstrates alignment.

Need for Power:

LTA results for this trait align with the hypothesised need for power scores. Predicted as low, both Milošević and Hussein's results present a low score of 0.3. Similarly, secondary research results demonstrate the leaders as possessing low need for power.

Ingroup Bias:

LTA results for ingroup bias align with the predicted scores. Hypothesised as low, both Milošević and Hussein reflect low scores of 0.2. Correspondingly, secondary research emphasises low ingroup bias across both leaders.

Belief in Control Over Events:

The obtained LTA results of 0.4 (moderate) for Hussein and 0.3 (low) for Milošević reflect partial alignment with hypothesised scores, which were predicted low for both leaders. Contrastingly, secondary research results illustrate a low belief in control over events for both leaders, aligning fully with the hypothesised score.

Conceptual Complexity:

The LTA results for this trait present no alignment with hypothesised scores; predicted low scores are contrasted with an obtained high score (0.6) for Hussein, and moderate score (0.5) for Milošević. Nonetheless, adhering to the hypothesised score, secondary research conveys low conceptual complexity for both Hussein and Milošević.

Self-Confidence:

With hypothesised high scores, the low (0.3, Hussein) and moderate (0.6, Milošević) results obtained signify no alignment. Moreover, these results denote a significant gap of 0.3 between the scores of both leaders. However, corresponding with the hypotheses, secondary research contravenes these results, depicting significantly high self-confidence within both leaders.

Distrust of Others:

Fully diverging from predicted scores, the low LTA results of Hussein (0.2) and Milošević (0.1) present no alignment with the hypotheses. Supporting the hypotheses and contesting LTA results, high distrust of others is underscored within the secondary research evaluated.

Task Focus:

Finally, no alignment is seen across obtained LTA and predicted results for task focus, with hypothesised high scores contrasted with obtained moderate scores (0.5 for Hussein; 0.6 for Milošević). Nevertheless, bolstering the hypotheses, the leaders are described as possessing high task focus within the secondary profiles examined.

Overall, as explicated in Chapter 5., the results from LTA content analysis present a general trend of non-alignment with hypothesised scores, with 64.3% non-alignment compared to 35.7% alignment. Contrastingly, the results gleaned from secondary personality profiles present full alignment with this investigation's hypotheses.

Chapter 5 – Discussion

Predicated upon the preceding three chapters surveying literature 'gaps', designing research methodologies, and depicting results, Chapter 5. provides detailed analyses and discussion of individual and comparative traits underpinning the dictator psychology of Hussein and Milošević.

5.1. Overview

Outlined in Chapter 3., the dualist investigative focus of this thesis is elucidated through an assessment of Hussein and Milošević's LTA trait scores alongside secondary profiles based on 'collateral information'. At a snapshot, with continuous reference to the hypotheses, genocidal episodes of extremity, and secondary profiles highlighting patterns of behaviour across the leaders' tenures, discussion underscores the view that dictator psychology demonstrates 'malignant narcissism' and 'perception-adjustment'.

First, analysis of information surrounding the leaders' belief in control begins this discussion, spotlighting insecurity regarding perceived impotence within a structure of political challenges. Here, one key observation is that extreme behaviour – as witnessed within the Anfal and Srebrenica cases – evinces a low belief in control over events through its compensatory nature. Further, suspicion towards others is correspondingly central as such extremities are ostensibly provoked by the magnification of power inconsistencies to threats. Second, accordingly, distrust of others is examined, highlighting the intrinsic paranoia of 'malignant narcissism'. Due to an observation of the characteristic developing when ingroup bias is lower than distrust of others scores, this section is combined with an analysis of ingroup bias (Hermann, 1999). Significantly, distrust visibly permeates the actions of dictators, manifesting in closed and inflexible behaviour. Third, Hermann's (1999) assertion that individuals with

low-high scores for conceptual complexity and self-confidence will display such behaviour informs a discussion to scrutinise both traits. Fourth, informed by this section's observations of dictators' grandiosity, an examination of their need for power follows. Finally, from the analytical foundation of the above traits, task focus is evaluated to highlight the fundamental prioritisation of self-preservation. Overall, the alignment of secondary profile observations within my hypotheses supports the theoretical proposition of this dissertation – that the specified LTA trait combination is apparent within both leaders (Figure 4.). This conclusion is advanced by the incorporation of theoretical psychoanalytic and political psychology literature within this discussion.

5.2. Methodological Limitations

As analysed throughout this chapter's trait discussions, incorporation of secondary personality profiles highlights the role of inherent data distortions affecting primary LTA results, thus serving to combat various content analysis limitations (Millon, 1990; Millon and Davis, 2000; Strack, 2005). Such limitations range across issues of self-presentation, encompassing rehearsed and tailored word-selection, the use of speech-writers, editing of interview and speech transcripts, and the uncertainty regarding the presence or extent of these issues within data analysed (Charteris-Black, 2018; Roberts, 1997; Bourdieu, 1991; Gleason, 2018). The significant proportion of speeches (68% speeches and 32% interviews for Hussein; 10% speeches and 90% interviews for Milošević) used to code for trait scores presents a significant risk of such distortions; whilst both forms of content are negatively affected by issues of rehearsal and self-presentation, interviews are regarded as spontaneous and therefore more accurate (Hermann, 1999, 1980a, 1986; Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Winter et al., 1991; Shütz, 1993; Gleason, 2018).³

³ Appendix A; Appendix B.

Moreover, whilst the computerisation of LTA content analysis (via 'Profiler Plus') elevates the reliability of this investigation's content analysis, removing the space for human error, the linguistic limitations of this programme affect the accuracy of results. First, 'Profiler Plus' solely processes English and Spanish text – incurring issues surrounding lost nuance (Matthes and Kohring, 2008). Prior to analysis, this can occur in instances where texts require translation, and additionally when selected texts were interviews or speeches delivered in English – neither the first language of Hussein nor Milošević. Regardless of fluency, texts delivered in a second language risk losing a degree of authentic expression due to elements of professionality, performance, discomfort or unfamiliarity obstructing expression (Harder, 1980; Marcos, 2018). Furthermore, the impact of formal linguistic education on the nature of an individual's speech alongside affects vocabulary and tone; alongside this, the hindered ability of mental word-search caused by the anxiety that accompanies speaking in a second language (formal conditions), accentuates this methodological limitation (MacIntyre and Gardner, 1994).

Additionally, 'collateral information'-based research further comprises methodological limitation with issues of human bias and memory errors (misremembering and confabulation) detracting from its overall research utility (Robins, 2016; Levine et al., 2009; Kruglanski and Ajzen, 1983; Mercer et al., 1977; Turnbull et al., 2004). Nevertheless, a combination of investigative methods in this thesis strives to remedy these issues through the respective benefits attained from both forms of research (Hermann, 1974, in Rosenau, 1974; 1999; Hermann and Milburn, 1977 Winter, 2005, in Post, 2005; Weintraub, 2005, in Post, 2005; Strack, 2005; Millon, 1990; Millon and Davis, 2000, in Strack, 2005; Greenstein, 1969).

5.3. Trait Discussion – Belief in Control Over Events

The secondary personality profiles examined support my hypothesis that both leaders possess a low belief in control over events, citing a systematic rationalisation of unwarranted extremity, its ruthless and irrational appearance, and the self-doubt that arises from trauma as a constructive trait factor (Post, 2005; Post and Panis, 2005; Doder and Branson, 1999). Such themes will be sequentially analysed. Encapsulated at its pinnacle within the Anfal and Srebrenica crises – where the extremity of genocide was employed to quell inconsistencies in their political predominance – the idea that both leaders possessed little belief in control over events is reflected by the incongruence of reaction to environmental cues. Moreover, the extremity of their reactions towards minor challenges bolsters assumptions of 'malignant narcissism', highlighting the paranoia and defective superego underscoring ineffective interpretation of the external environment (Glad, 2002; Post, 1993).

Secondary personality profiles evince the leaders' low belief in control over events through their systematic inclination towards extreme violence. Post's (2005: 335) assertion that Hussein "explained the extremity of his actions as president of Iraq as necessary to achieve 'subjective immunity' against foreign plots and influences" epitomises this hypothesis by highlighting Hussein's logic towards the Anfal genocide. Prioritising the protection of his political survival – additionally illuminated by his nepotistic governmental structure and pervasive security network – this statement illuminates how Hussein legitimised an act of genocide via belief in its necessity (Al-Marashi, 2002). This signifies a low belief in control over events through the perception that such extreme action was required to quell a minor inconsistency in his power. Aligning with the suggestion of systematic rationalisation and justification, Post and Panis' (2005: 824) characterisation of Milošević as "cunning and ruthless" implies calculating leadership based on callous principles. These suggestions allude

to the use of 'perception-adjustment' to permit its continuation, whereby the legitimacy of action is bolstered through moral-disengagement and linguistic sanitisation (Bandura, 1999; Festinger, 1957; Kelman, 1973; Dutton, 2007; Bandura, 1999; Kelman and Hamilton, 1989). Post (2005: 335) reinforces this point, stressing how such rationalisation underpinned a "lifelong pattern in which all actions are justified; alluding to the habitual use of 'perception-adjustment' in conjunction with incongruent responses to environmental cues, this perpetuated the systematic normalisation of extreme action.

Post and Panis' (2005: 829) use of the term "rational calculator" for Hussein advances this evaluation and underscores the parallels between both leaders; here, the proposal that such merciless rationality created the impression of a "madman" underlines my proposal of 'perception-adjustment' (Post, 1991: 279). This is mirrored in the assessment of Milošević's "cool ruthlessness" (Doder and Branson, 1999; Sell, 2016: 16; Scharf, 2002). Connoting apparent irrationality and unwarranted extremity, these terms allude to the external appearance created by the internal logic of both leaders' 'malignant narcissist' traits. Critically, this reflects the paranoia and defective superego traits – misinforming behaviour through misinterpretation of the environment - propelling the adoption of extreme action. Moreover, the notion of selfdoubt induced by trauma is evident within secondary profiles. For example, Post's (2005: 337) analysis that Hussein was "a wounded self" with "profound self-doubt" highlights the foundation for a compensatory need to pursue extreme control due to an intrinsic sense of impotence. Referencing the trauma experienced in childhood, this evaluation additionally translates to the case of Milošević. Aligning with Post's (2005: 337) logic, the "profound selfdoubt" effects of trauma should also be visible within Milošević, who similarly experienced a traumatic childhood and early adulthood (Doder and Branson, 1999).
Fundamentally, whilst the Anfal and Srebrenica cases evoke extreme behaviour, their labels – "*tathir*", meaning "purification" and "*etniko ciscenj [...] ciscenj prostor*", meaning "cleansing of the region [...] cleansing the territory" – evince a low belief in control over events and palpable legitimisation of this action through 'perception-adjustment' (Al-Marashi, 2003: 5; Blum et al., 2008: 204; Davis, 2006). This highlights both leaders' low belief in control over events by reflecting that the "rational" conclusion for was to deploy extreme violence towards a minor threat (Post and Panis, 2005: 829). Moreover, the fact that both regimes were engaged in larger conflicts when these genocides were enforced bolsters the notion of 'perception-adjustment' through the inherent place moral-disengagement and sanitisation of language occupies within contexts of war. Ultimately, beyond implying a perception of impotence vis-à-vis controlling events, the secondary profiles referenced illuminate the paranoia of 'malignant narcissism', strengthening this investigation's theoretical proposition.

Evaluation of the LTA results, combined with these observations of secondary profiles, highlights the characteristic behaviour of both leaders as reflecting systematic extremism – with low belief in control over events a strong causal agent. Although the hypotheses of this investigation align with the insights offered by secondary profiles – predicting low scores for belief in control over events – the LTA results present a moderate score for Hussein (0.4). The low result for Milošević (0.3), however, is strengthened by secondary research based on 'collateral information', thus bolstering this hypotheses. Nevertheless, Hussein's score warrants further investigation. As the evidence conveyed through secondary research asserts the low belief in control over events possessed by Hussein, critique of the methodological approach is warranted. The aforementioned data issues therefore occupy prime focus. With speeches constituting 68% of the data analysed for Hussein – compared to 10% for Milošević – a tenable explanation for Hussein's moderate score surrounds the rehearsal and tailored word-

selection that are intrinsically linked to the self-presentation purpose of speeches (Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2001). Specifically, within speeches, word-selection would be tailored to mask a low belief in control over events in order to convey confidence and authority (Shamir, et al., 2018, in Katz, et al., 2018; Charteris-Black, 2018; Reyes, 2011; Boussofara, 2006). Whilst these issues should extend to interviews, the consensus within the field of content analysis asserts that interviews possess significantly higher degrees of spontaneity, therefore permitting greater accuracy in the construction of personality profiles. Collectively, however, the hypotheses are supported by secondary research conveying low belief in control over events for both leaders.

5.4. Trait Discussion – Ingroup Bias and Distrust of Others

Interlinked with a low belief in control over events, the hypothesised traits of low ingroup bias and high distrust of others find support within secondary personality profiles – despite conflict with LTA results. Whilst the LTA results partially align with my hypotheses, displaying low ingroup bias for both leaders (0.2 for Hussein; 0.2 for Milošević), the low results for distrust of others (0.2 for Hussein; 0.1 for Milošević) contravene the expected high scores. Nevertheless, supplementing the hypothesis of low ingroup bias by referencing the superficiality of dictator's pragmatic alliances, the secondary profiles connote the intense distrust forged by experiences of trauma. Evaluation of these assertions is furthered through reference to the Anfal and Srebrenica cases, epitomising the combination of low ingroup bias with high distrust through these massacres. The interrelated paranoia of intense distrust and low ingroup bias, illustrated by these profiles, reiterates the central suggestion of dictators' 'malignant narcissism'.

The traits of ingroup bias and distrust of others are evaluated together for two reasons. First, interrelation. This thesis asserts that high distrust diminishes desire to represent and support an ingroup, due to the trust required for group identification (Cook et al., 2009). Second, due to the observation of high-low distrust of others and ingroup bias characteristics within the secondary profiles of both Hussein and Milošević (Hermann, 1999). Namely, these are outlined as "taking advantage of opportunities and building relationships", whilst remaining "vigilant". Such characteristics are imitated within the dictatorial style of constructing an inner circle, purging, and rotating individuals when an element of insurgency or criticism is detected (Post, 1993; Hermann, 1999; Dawisha, 1999; Wintrobe, 2000; Post and Panis, 2005; Sassoon, 2011). Moreover, the extremity of the Anfal and Srebrenica cases exposes these characteristics further. Here, vigilance is construed through the observation and inflation of the threat to the leaders' political survival posed by the Kurds and Bosnian Muslims. Correspondingly, "taking advantage of opportunities" is symbolised through the assessment that for Hussein, Anfal was "the opportunity to bring to a climax its long-standing efforts to bring the Kurds to heel"; similarly, for Milošević, Srebrenica was the opportunity to exercise "intent to destroy Bosnian Muslims in Srebrenica [...] a substantial part of the Bosnian Muslim group" (Human Rights Watch, 1993: 1; Tournaye, 2003: 460).

Nonetheless, whilst the notion of "building relationships" is ostensibly absent from these cases, the secondary profiles depict the tactical use of inner circles and alliances in providing the foundations for focalised extremity (Anfal and Srebrenica) to be realised. This is supported by Anfal being largely orchestrated by Hussein's cousin, Ali Hassan al-Majid, ergo accentuating the pragmatic nature of political inner circles (Kelly, 2007). Analogously, Srebrenica was enforced by Bosnian Serb "military commander" Ratko Mladić (Black, 1993; Kelly, 2007; Kent, 2005: 87; Bošković, 2011). Beyond facilitating the realisation of leaders' aims, the pragmatism underpinning this mode of constructing inner circles is further reflected within the plausible deniability that delegation of extreme action afforded both leaders. Whilst al-Majid became colloquially known as "Ali Chemical" and "Ali Anfal", evidence from Milošević's trial reflects claims that Milošević occupied a "peacemaker role", shifting culpability towards Mladić (Black, 1993: 52; Milutinovic, 2017: 1).

Furthering insights into the political survival propelling high distrust of others and low ingroup bias, the assessments that Milošević and Hussein's paranoia stemmed from their experience of trauma is focalised (Doder and Branson, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005). As Doder and Branson (1999: 5) note, the "traumatic events" of Milošević's youth – experiencing paternal abandonment, destitution, and the dual suicides of his mother and uncle – led to his "survival instinct" being "reinforced". This alludes to the intense distrust of others and interlinked shunning of group identification hypothesised by this investigation. Moreover, such familial trauma, paralleled in Hussein's youth by his mother's rejection of him, attempted suicide, and the psychological and physical abuse inflicted by his stepfather, reinforces the hypothesis of dictatorial extremity being propelled by trait similarities constituting 'malignant narcissism' (Post and Panis, 2005).

An example of this combination of high distrust with low ingroup bias is the "massive bunker [...] beneath the presidential palace [...] designed to withstand all but a direct nuclear blast" (Post, 2003, in Post and Panis, 2005: 828). Representing the "architectural motif for [...] his political psychology" – defined as a "siege state, ready to be attacked, ready to defend" – this secondary profile observation encapsulates the central assertion of 'malignant narcissism's paranoia, generated through high distrust, consequent low ingroup bias, and the effects of a defective superego. This is supported by the consensus that trauma experienced during

formative stages can wreak significant impacts on personality developments. For instance, 'malignant narcissism': developing from "disrupted early childhoods" that feature "unresolved grief of their mothers [...] "separations with multiple parental figures", hatred towards paternal figures, and abuse by paternal figures that "probably led to their paranoia" (Goldner-Vukov and Moore, 2010: 397). Correspondingly, the assertion that resultantly, "for the rest of his life [Milošević] would always be on guard", bolsters this paper's proposition that the paranoia witnessed in political behaviour exhibits distress (Doder and Branson, 1999: 5).

The alignment of secondary personality profiles and obtained LTA results with hypothesised distrust of others and ingroup bias scores strengthens the validity of the predictions. Consequently, the discrepancy between predicted and actual LTA results for distrust of others can be explained by returning to issues surrounding methodological approach and data use. To demonstrate strength and bolster authority, leaders' self-presentation within speeches and interviews strives to appear confident and secure (Shamir, et al., 2018, in Katz, et al., 2018; Charteris-Black, 2018; Reyes, 2011; Boussofara, 2006; Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2010). Nonetheless, according to Hermann's (1999) assertions regarding the superior spontaneity of interview content, compared to Milošević, the greater proportion of speeches used for Hussein indicate that Hussein's scores for distrust of others should be lower. Examination of the LTA scores confirms that – whilst both leaders score lowly for distrust of others, reinforcing the idea of rehearsed content - Hussein's score is 0.2 compared to Milošević's lower score of 0.1. This indicates the potency of self-presentation that is characteristic of interview data and speeches, thus demeriting the use of content analysis exclusively (Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2001; Millon, 1990; Millon and Davis, 2000, in Strack, 2005; Strack, 2005).

5.5. Trait Discussion – Self-Confidence and Conceptual Complexity

The hypothesised results for self-confidence and conceptual complexity – high-low for both leaders – contrasts with the obtained results, which convey low-high scores for Hussein, and moderate-moderate scores for Milošević. Nevertheless, alignment of secondary personality profile insights with the predicted high-low results indicate that – similarly to the traits examined in Section 5.4. – discrepancies across scores signify the influence of data distortions within the content analysis methodology. Highlighting the grandiose self-conception of dictators by referencing arrogant and didactic mannerisms, secondary profiles buttress the central observation of this thesis that dictators are 'malignant narcissists' (Post and Panis, 2005; Weintraub, 2005, in Post, 2005; Doder and Branson, 1999). Moreover, illustration of their low conceptual complexity is evident within examples of courtroom conduct during trials (Chapter 2; Post and Panis, 2005). Reference to the Anfal and Srebrenica crises elucidates high-low selfconfidence and conceptual complexity scores further, wherein coercion and control are seen to dominate consideration of alternatives, advancing the observations of secondary personality profiles cited (Post and Panis, 2005; Doder and Branson, 1999).

Signposting low ingroup bias, Section 5.4. alludes to intrinsic high levels of selfconfidence. This is due to the interrelation of group identity and self-esteem within social identity theory's self-esteem hypothesis (Rubin and Hewstone, 1998; Tajfel, 2010; Abrams and Hogg, 1990). Citing the inclination of individuals with low self-esteem to join groups, this theory advises that demonstration of low ingroup bias equates to high self-esteem. As illustrated through analysis of independent research and secondary personality profiles, low ingroup bias is evident within both leaders. Whilst this implies high self-confidence, further analysis of secondary profiles bolsters this extrapolation. Specifically, Post and Panis (2005: 827) hypothesise the leaders' high self-confidence and characteristics of high-low selfconfidence-conceptual complexity scores, centralising Hussein's grandiosity with trauma as its cause. Noting how "one course" of reaction to the experience of trauma in formative life stages is to "etch a psychological template of compensatory grandiosity", and asserting that "this was the developmental path Saddam followed", this personality profile furthers the hypothesis of Hussein's high self-confidence. Analysis of Milošević's actions – at the peak of his extremity (Srebrenica) – implies his pursuit of this developmental path, affirming the proposition of mutual high self-confidence (Goldner-Vukov and Moore, 2010).

Due to an internal conviction of grandiosity, the "profound rich arrogance" of Milošević, invoking "no need to flaunt [...] authority", advances the hypothesis of high selfconfidence (Doder and Branson, 1999: 3). Moreover, the interlinked assessment of Hussein's courtroom behaviour - "intent on being honoured with the proper title" during his trial highlights low levels of conceptual complexity and the hypothesised high-low self-confidencecomplexity trait combination (Post and Panis, 2005: 834). Post and Panis' (2005) analysis of Hussein's trial behaviour illuminates the traits associated with this high-low combination, namely closedness, inflexibility, and insensitivity to environmental cues. This analytical example informs that Hussein's grandiose self-conception diminished any adaptiveness, therefore conceptualising the high-low self-confidence-complexity trait combination. Furthermore, with courtroom behaviour equally witnessed within Milošević's trial, this assessment underscores the hypothesis that both leaders possess analogous trait scores constituting 'malignant narcissism' (Post and Panis, 2005). Moreover, insensitivity to environmental cues is further illustrated through both leaders' "inability to cope with political reality" (Post and Panis, 2005: 834). Whilst this is reflected within both leaders' courtroom behaviour, the Anfal and Srebrenica cases further demonstrate the deployment of incongruent means to secure dominance when confronted with insubstantial threats. Further, the

enforcement of genocidal solutions conveys significantly weak conceptual complexity, implying a lack of considered viable alternatives. 'Malignant narcissism's defective superego is accentuated with the diminished capacity for conceptual complexity, grandiose sense of selfconfidence, and deficient reading of the environment. Analysis of secondary research thus reinforces my hypotheses.

However, the LTA results for this section's traits do not align with hypothesised highlow scores. Instead, Hussein scored as low-high (0.3 for self-confidence; 0.6 for conceptual complexity) and Milošević as moderate-moderate (0.6 for self-confidence; 0.5 for conceptual complexity), thereby demonstrating full misalignment. Regarding self-confidence scores, the overwhelming support for high self-confidence implies that this discrepancy has resulted from data issues. Again, the impact of self-presentation intentions – fuelled by objectives to sustain political survival - provides an explanation for the divergence of predicted and obtained selfconfidence scores (Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2001). Despite the grandiosity of both leaders' selfconceptions (secondary profiles), the impression of low self-esteem denotes the manifestation of an intention to convey humility (Lee, 1995). Nevertheless, these low self-confidence scores are subject to question. Whilst a display of humility garners popularity and thus security, low self-confidence scores additionally reflect a sense of futility and impotence, with such personality features reducing popularity (Lee, 1995). Thus, this analysis spotlights potential inaccuracies stemming from the use of 'Profiler Plus', due to the political blunder that a demonstration of low self-esteem would project (Lee, 1995). Regarding conceptual complexity, one explanation for Hussein's moderate score is the involvement of speech writers. Compared with 10% for Milošević, whose complexity score aligns with hypothesised expectations, 68% of the content analysed for Hussein is speech material. Overall, this contention strengthens the hypotheses of high self-confidence, demonstrating the political

agenda to convey high self-confidence and locating these low/moderate LTA scores within issues of computerised content analysis.

5.6. Trait Discussion – Need for Power

Whilst dictators and 'malignant narcissists' are regarded as possessing a high need for power fuelled by its apparent manifestation in the assumption of political leadership, this investigation argues that the grandiosity of high self-confidence denotes otherwise (Hermann, 1999; Kets de Vries and Miller, 1985; Rosenthal and Pittinsky, 2006). This is bolstered by the secondary contributions explored, which highlight both the leaders' pre-existing sense of power, and the extremity of genocide as protection (Shaw, 2003; Ramet, 2003; Ezrow and Frantz, 2011; Post, 2005). This perception of pre-existing 'greatness' - that such a grandiose self-conception implies - underpins the hypothesis that Hussein and Milošević possess a low need for power (Doder and Branson, 1999; Post and Panis, 2005; Rubin and Hewstone, 1998; Tajfel, 2010; Abrams and Hogg, 1990). Additionally, the obtaining of political leadership constitutes a consequence of the hypothesised trait combination. A sense of entitlement, stemming from high self-confidence, combined with a high distrust of others and low belief in ability to control events, propels the desire to secure an 'untouchable' role in society (Avolio and Locke, 2002; Furtner et al., 2011; Valenty and Feldman, 2002). Low conceptual complexity highlights the role of the absolutist political leaders as solely capable of remedying insecurities, whilst diminished ingroup bias - combined with high task focus - facilitates an ascension to leadership (Hogg and Adelman, 2013; Pech and Slade, 2007). Therefore, contrary to research that cites 'malignant narcissist' leaders as retaining a high need for power due to "egotistical needs for power and admiration", this thesis advises that 'malignant narcissism' reflects this original trait score combination (Rosenthal and Pittinsky, 2006: 618).

Shaw (2003) and Ramet (2003) sustain this theoretical proposal by illustrating grandiosity and insecurity – rooted in high self-confidence and distrust of others – as the propellants of what superficially resembles a need for power. Asserting that Hussein held a "grandiose view of his historic role in Iraqi and regional history", Shaw (2003: 359) outlines Hussein's perception of high personal impact on the environment, evincing an intrinsic sense of importance. This self-conception denotes how Hussein's grandiosity underpinned the role of power that he occupied, whilst this preconception of power obscures any need for it. Correspondingly, Ramet's (2003: 455) observation that "there is some broad consensus about Milošević [...] Milošević's primary goal was simply to hold onto power" symbolises how a need for power appears minimal; instead, this assertion accentuates the propellant paranoia of high distrust of others and low belief in control over events (Cohen, 2001; LeBor, 2002; Sell, 2002; Snyder, 2000).

Whilst the enforcement of genocide insinuates an underlying desire for power or predominance, the Anfal and Srebrenica cases instead denote the paranoia of seeking to "cling to power" (Ezrow and Frantz, 2011). Underpinned by a diminished belief in control over events, this – in conjunction with the defective superego – exacerbates the perceived challenge to their political survival symbolised by the Kurdish and Bosnian Muslim ethnic groups (Post, 2005). With the rationalisation of genocide propelled by a defective superego and 'perception-adjustment', this analysis reasserts the hypothesis of low need for power. Moreover, the correspondence of the need for power LTA results (0.3 for Hussein; 0.3 for Milošević) with their low hypotheses resembles support for this position, simultaneously reflecting the impact of self-presentation on interview and speech content (Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2001). With such content underpinned by a desire to maintain political security, self-presentation objectives would additionally display a low need for power to command the authenticity, legitimacy, and

morale-focused leadership outlined by Hermann (1999) (Shütz, 1993; Sigelman, 2001). Thus, due to the data issues manufacturing the LTA results' outcome, the value of 'collateral information'-based secondary profiles is reasserted, strengthening the validity of this investigation's dualist methodology.

5.7. Trait Discussion – Task Focus

Analysis of the leaders' fervent need to retain power - a result of their paranoia warrants discussion of task focus. Whilst Hussein and Milošević were both predicted to display high scores for task focus, the moderate LTA scores for both (0.5 for Hussein; 0.6 for Milošević) assert the impact of data distortion. Arguably, this resulted from tailoring or rehearsal with an imperative of construing balance between investment in duties and investment in the individuals involved (Shütz, 1993; Hermann, 1999). This is emphasised by the fact that high task focus scores promote the relegation of individuals in order to effectuate policy, whilst low scores signify the precedence of morale over effective solutions (Hermann, 1999). Thus, a moderate score resembles the most probable objective when constructing wordselection for interviews and speeches (Shütz, 1993). Supporting my proposition, analysis of secondary personality profiles illustrates both Hussein and Milošević's actions as reflecting high task focus due to their fundamental objective of consolidating political standing. Particularly, Milošević's feigning of nationalism and Hussein's attempts to mirror Milošević's effective courtroom tactics evidences this (Post and Panis, 2005; Vladisavljevik, 2004). Furthermore, independent analysis of the Anfal and Srebrenica episodes advance this evaluation, highlighting the forfeiting of individuals for the prioritisation of effective solutions.

Asserting that Milošević's political behaviour was contrived to consistently "achieve and maximise his political standing", Post and Panis (2005: 825) allude to high levels of task focus through this description. Relatedly, Alani's (2000: 42) depiction of Hussein's "support structure" explaining "Saddam's survival in [...] absolute power" echoes Hussein's high task force, strengthening this investigation's proposition of personality parallels. Notably, the assertion that such support was "carefully built up over 35 years through a combination of hard work and ruthlessness" explicates high task focus through the prioritisation of protecting control over the state (Alani, 2000: 42). Descriptions of Milošević as a "champion of Serbian nationalism" who "exploited nationalism to come to power", however, advance this argument more distinctly (Post and Panis, 2005: 824; Sell, 2003: 170). Stating that in promoting himself, Milošević discovered his "political voice", Post and Panis (2005: 824) allude to the insincerity of this nationalist display by detailing this "voice" as uniquely "political". This is supported by Sell's (2003: 170) declaration that "nationalism for him was just a tool". Further, this observation strengthens the idea of high task focus by ascertaining the link between such performative behaviour and the securing of political position. Noting that even Milošević's "wife [...] indicated that there was no trace of ideology or nationalism in her husband", this analysis advances the argument that any nationalism conveyed was ultimately a tool for political expediency (Post and Panis, 2005: 824).

Concurrently, Hussein's aforementioned attempts to replicate Milošević's effective courtroom tactics during trial exceeds a diminished conceptual complexity and a grandiose sense of self (Post and Panis, 2005). Rather, such behaviour signalled a relegation of individuals involved or affected, and a focus on seeking effective solutions to the issue at hand (Hermann, 1999). Whilst reiterating the notion of these leaders' high task focus and representing the prioritisation of securing political positions, this behaviour nonetheless reflects a defective superego and an iterative 'perception-adjustment' process. This is clarified by both leaders seeking to subvert the courts' "legitimacy and impartiality" during their trials,

evincing the "cases" trying "courts" (Scharf, 2003: 915; Post and Panis, 2005; Peterson, 2007; Scharf, 2007: 263). Hussein's tactics thus express a misinterpretation of the environment and subsequently misjudged behaviour, fortifying the notion of his defective superego and thus a 'malignant narcissism' diagnosis overall. This is further elucidated through the cases of Anfal and Srebrenica. Here, the cases reflect Hussein and Milošević's inclination to pursue pragmatic solutions of genocide, conveying 'malignant narcissism's defective superego through the idea of ethical misjudgement and misinterpretation of the environment (Bauer, 2001, in Stone, 2004; Schneiderhan, 2013; Roth, 2001, in Wurmser, 2003; Wurmser, 2003). This epitomises high task focus impairing proper judgement, as the leaders' perception of pragmatism and effective solutions accentuate their defective superegos. This is spotlighted from the nonrecognition of its extremity and incongruence in comparison with the targeted inconsistencies in their power, represented by the Kurds and Bosnian Muslims.

Chapter 6 – Conclusions

Explored throughout Chapters 1-5, this investigation seeks to remedy the analytical gap in political psychology surrounding dictatorial extremity. Underpinned by the aim to advance theoretical and diagnostic understanding of dictator personalities, this research responded to key research questions (Figure 1.) in order to investigate the academic and political spheres. Through the construction of personality profiles for Hussein and Milošević, identifying their trait scores through LTA and evaluating secondary behavioural assumptions, this research underscores a trait-behaviour nexus within dictator psychology (Hermann, 1980a, 1980b, 1984, 1987, 1999; Hermann and Milburn, 1977; Hermann and Kogan, 1977; Byman and Pollack, 2001; Druckman, 1968; Byars, 1973; McClelland, 1975; Lefcourt, 1976). In particular, this study's theoretical construction (exemplified within Figure 4.) – stressing the interrelation of 'malignant narcissism' with a theorised set of LTA scores and 'perceptionadjustment' – illustrates the causal agents determining extreme behaviour within dictator psychology. Bolstering this theoretical proposition with support from investigative findings (Chapter 5.), this dissertation displays significant support for this model (Figure 4.), emphasising the potential for future analytical applicability within political psychology.

Nevertheless, as illuminated in Chapter 5., significant discrepancies were discovered across obtained LTA scores and results from secondary personality profiles. Whereas the latter presented full alignment with investigative hypotheses, the former did not – exhibiting 64.3% non-alignment with both the secondary personality profile results and hypotheses. Such discrepancies query the validity of both result sets, consequently questioning the validity of this study's theoretical model (Figure 4.).

As a result, this dissertation's methodology warrants critique (Chapter 3.). Providing a response to two key research questions (Figure 1.: 2.i., 2.ii.), the dualist analytical strategy employed theoretically permits greater validity to this investigation. Further, content analysis ostensibly produces reliable quantitative scores via 'Profiler Plus' whilst an interpretivist evaluation of secondary profiles permits existing analyses of 'collateral information' to inform critique of the LTA results (Whatmore, 2003; Bryman, 2006). However, Chapter 5. illuminates central issues of data distortion that impede LTA, focalising concerns of contrived wordselection fuelled by self-presentation agendas (Charteris-Black, 2018; Roberts, 1997; Bourdieu, 1991; Gleason, 2018). Although the accuracy of LTA profiling is thus reduced through its use of inevitably contrived data, this investigation contends that issues of bias and intention distort both content analysis and evaluation of secondary literature. This is most acute where evaluation of secondary literature encompasses threefold bias hurdles across the primary data source, primary researcher, and secondary researcher (Robins, 2016; Levine et al., 2009; Kruglanski and Ajzen, 1983; Mercer et al., 1977; Turnbull et al., 2004). Moreover, the full alignment of secondary research results with the hypotheses solidifies this observation, highlighting the researcher bias of pre-informed hypotheses construction, with predictions subjectively impacted by projected existing knowledge. Further, it is essential to be wary of the inherent researcher bias that permeates interpretivism and evaluation of secondary sources. Consequently, employment of a dualist methodological strategy appears apt, as each approach independently presents drawbacks that require strategic counteraction (Figure 1: 2.i., 2.ii.; Whatmore, 2003).

Notwithstanding LTA's unavoidable data issues, this investigation nonetheless asserts that its seven-trait framework permits significant insight into leader personality and psychology (2.i., Figure 1.). This is exemplified through the efficient categorisation of 'collateral information'-based assumptions into LTA trait themes (Chapter 5.), which illustrates how trait frameworks holistically encompass the core psychological elements of personality (Strack, 2005; Millon, 1990; Millon and Davis, 2000). Thus, aside from issues linked to content analysis, the theoretical contributions LTA offers are supported by the secondary personality profiles examined. Moreover, this thesis' interweaving of LTA trait scores to 'malignant narcissism' seconds this notion by outlining how the 'malignant narcissist' condition denotes an illustration of a specified LTA score set. This investigation therefore posits that, despite being constrained by its interrelation with content analysis issues, LTA holds significant theoretical merit (Figure 1.: 2.i.).

Fundamentally, regarding the question of whether this investigation into Hussein and Milošević diagnoses dictators as 'malignant narcissists' (1.i., Figure 1.), analysis of investigative results affirms a diagnosis of 'malignant narcissism', supplying evidence for this trend across dictator psychology. Notwithstanding researcher bias, the full alignment of results from secondary profile evaluation with this investigation's hypotheses additionally lends credence to the theoretical model proposed, bolstering the diagnosis of dictators as 'malignant narcissists' (1.i, Figure 1.). However, the limited scope of leaders examined, compromised to permit investigative depth, precludes this investigation from asserting that all dictators can be diagnosed as 'malignant narcissists'. Moreover, the reduced number of leaders examined disables depiction of explicit trends, ultimately preventing the complete fulfilment of this research question. In addition, the methodological issues discussed query the validity of this investigation's findings, further prohibiting a positive response to this research question (Figure 1.: 1.i.).

With regards to research question 3.i. (Figure 1.), which queries the centrality of 'perception-adjustment' to the trait-behaviour nexus, this thesis seeks to illustrate how 'perception-adjustment' is central to the process that results in extreme behaviour, illuminating dictator psychology and the 'malignant narcissist' condition (Figure 4.). However, despite its reflection across analysis of secondary profiles, the importance of 'perception-adjustment' is debatable due to the obscurity surrounding the extent to which traits motivate behaviour. Ultimately, this investigation's analysis indicates the role of 'perception-adjustment' as inscrutable; at present, there are no clear methods identifying where personality no longer influences behaviour, and where 'perception-adjustment' must be employed to reduce dissonance. Nevertheless, this study's evaluation of secondary personality profiles spotlights the central role of 'perception-adjustment', particularly within the Anfal and Srebrenica episodes. Despite these observations, it is yet unclear whether 'perception-adjustment' is always essential to the autonomous action of dictators, or whether it is employed intermittently. However, the findings of this analysis do clarify that 'perception-adjustment' is imperative to extremity involving others. Although this underscores the facilitation of group rather than individual extremity, the extremity of dictatorial behaviour requires the involvement of others to ensure its implementation, illustrating the centrality of 'perception-adjustment' to the fundamental operations of the dictator's rule.

Overall, as illustrated within responses to key research questions, awareness of such methodological shortcomings queries the extent to which research findings can be considered valid. Further, analysis is advised to advance observations surrounding the validity of this theory, as broadening the scope of this research to account for a wider range of individuals would enable greater presentation of trends and "patterns" (Miles and Huberman, 1994: 246). Nevertheless, maintaining in-depth analysis across the leaders analysed is recommended to permit greater accuracy in the results obtained. Ultimately, such development should further insight into the validity of this dissertation's theoretical model (Figure 4.), advancing diagnoses and understanding across the academic and political spheres into the twenty-first century.

Bibliography

- Abrams, D., and Hogg, M.A. (1990). *Social identity theory: constructive and critical advances*. New York: Springer-Verlag Publishing.
- Alani, M. (2000). Saddam's support structure. Whitehall Papers, 51(1), 42-46.
- Al-Marashi, I. (2002). *Iraq's security and intelligence network; a guide and analysis*. Calhoun: the NPS Institutional Archive.
- Al-Marashi, I. (2003). An insight into the mindset of Iraq's security apparatus. *Intelligence and National Security*, 18(3), 1-23.
- Almond, G.A. (2000). 'The study of political culture.' In Crothers, L., and Lockhart, C. *Culture and politics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1988). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association Press.
- Avolio, B.J. and Locke, E.E. (2002). Contrasting different philosophies of leader motivation: altruism versus egoism. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 13(2), 169-191.

Bandura, A. (1999). Moral disengagement in the perpetration of Inhumanities. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 3(3), 193-209.

Barber, J.D. (1965). The lawmakers. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Barro, R.J. and Sala-I-Martin, X. (1992). Convergence. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(2), 223-251.

Bass, B.M. (1981). Stogdill's handbook of leadership. New York: Free Press.

- Bauer, Y. (1991). 'Holocaust and genocide: some comparisons.' In Stone, D. (2004). The historiography of genocide: beyond 'uniqueness' and ethnic competition. *Rethinking History*, 8(1), 127-142.
- Baum, S. (2008). The psychology of genocide. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Black, G. (1993). *Genocide in Iraq: the Anfal campaign against the Kurds*. New York: Middle East Watch, Human Rights Watch.
- Blum, R., Stanton, G.H., Sagi, S., and Richter, E.D. (2007). 'Ethnic cleansing' bleaches the atrocities of genocide. *European Journal of Public Health*, 18(2), 204-209.
- Bošković, A. (2011). Ratko Mladic: Relativism, myth, and reality. *Anthropology Today*, 27(4), 1-3.
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). Language and symbolic power. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Boussofara, N. (2006). Learning the 'linguistic habitus' of a politician: a presidential authoritative voice in the making. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 5(3), 325-358.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brenner, C. (2002). Conflict, compromise formation, and structural theory. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly*, 71, 397-417.
- Brunborg, H., Lyngstad, T.H., and Urdal, H. (2003). Accounting for genocide: how many were killed in Srebrenica? *European Journal of Population*, 19(3), 229-248.
- Bryman, A. (2006). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research: how is it done? *Qualitative Research*, 6(1), 97-113.
- Byars, R.S. (1973). Small-group theory and shifting styles of political leadership. *Comparative Political Studies*, (5), 443-469.

- Byman, D.L. and Pollack, K.M. (2001). Let us now praise great men: bringing the statesman back in. *International Security*, 25(4), 107-146.
- Caldwell, D., and McKeown, T. (1993). *Diplomacy, force, and leadership: essays in honor of Alexander George*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Charteris-Black, J. (2018). Analysing political speeches. London: Palgrave.
- Chorbajian, L. and Shirinian, G. (1999). *Studies in comparative genocide*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Cohen, L.J. (2001). Serpent in the bosom: the rise and fall of Slobodan Milošević. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Collins, H. (2010). Creative research. Lausanne: AVA Pub Sa.
- Cook, K.S., Levi, M, and Hardin, R. (2009). *Whom can we trust?: how groups, networks, and institutions make trust possible.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Crow, W.J. and Noel, R.C. (1977). 'An experiment in simulated historical decision making.' In Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. A Psychological Examination of Political Leaders. New York: Free Press.
- Cunliffe, A.L. (2010). Crafting qualitative research. *Organisational Research Methods*, 14(4), 647-643.
- Davis, G.S. (2006). Religion and justice in the war over Bosnia. London: Psychology Press.
- Dawisha, A. (1999). "Identity" and political survival in Saddam's Iraq. *Middle East Journal*, 53(4), 553-567.
- Delpla, I., Bougarel, X., and Fournel, J.L. (2012). *Investigating Srebrenica: institutions, facts, responsibilities.* New York: Berghahn Books.

DiRenzo, G.J. (1974). Personality and politics. Oxford: Anchor.

- Doder, D. and Branson, L. (1999). *Milošević: portrait of a tyrant*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Driver, M.J. (1977). 'Individual differences as determinants of aggression in the internation simulation.' In Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. *A psychological examination of political leaders*. New York: Free Press.
- Druckman, D. (1968). Ethnocentrism and the inter-nation simulation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, (12), 45-68.
- Druckman, D. (1977). *Negotiations: social-psychological perspectives*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Dudovskiy, J. (2016). The ultimate guide to writing a dissertation. Retrieved from https://research-methodology.net/research-methodology/research-process/writingresearch-background/ [Accessed: 13 Apr. 2019].
- Roberts, C. (1997). The politics of transcription; transcribing talk: issues of representation. *Tesol Quarterly*, 31(1), 167-172.
- Dutton, D. (2007). *The psychology of genocide, massacres and extreme violence*. Westport: Praeger.
- East, M.A. (1978). Why nations act. Beverley Hills: Sage.
- Elkins, D.J. and Simeon, R.E.B. (1979). A cause in search of its effect, or what does political culture explain? *Comparative Politics*, 11(2), 127-145.
- Erikson, E.H. (1958). Young man Luther: a study in psychoanalysis and history. New York: Norton.

Eysenk, H. (1999 [1954]). The psychology of politics. Piscataway: Transaction Publishers.

- Ezrow, N.M. and Frantz, E. (2011). *Dictators and dictatorships: understanding authoritarian regimes and their leaders*. New York: Bloomsbury Publishing USA.
- Falkowski, L. (1978). Presidents, secretaries of state, and crises in U.S. foreign relations: a model and predictive analysis. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Fearon, J.D. and Laitin, D.D. (2000). Violence and the social construction of ethnic identity. *International Organisation* 54(4), 845-877.
- Festinger, L. (1957). A theory of cognitive dissonance. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Freud, S. (1959 [1914]). On narcissism: an introduction. vol. xiv, collected papers, American edition. New York: Basic Books.
- Freud, S. (2001). Complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud. New York: Random House.
- Freud, S. (2012 [1920]). *A general introduction to psychoanalysis*. Hertfordshire: Wordsworth Editions Ltd.
- Furtner, M.R., Rauthmann, J.F., Sachse, P. (2011). The self-loving self-leader: an examination of the relationship between self-leadership and the dark triad. *Social Behaviour and Personality: An International Journal*, 39(3), 369-379.
- George, A.L. and George, J.L. (1956). *Woodrow Wilson and Colonel House: a personality study*. New York: Dover.
- Glad, B. (2002). Why tyrants go too far: malignant narcissism and absolute power. *Political Psychology*, 23(1).

- Gleason, M. (2018). *Making men: sophists and self-presentation in Ancient Rome*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Goldberg, A. (1983). The future of psychoanalysis. New York: International Universities Press.
- Goldner-Vukov, M. and Moore, L.J. (2010). Malignant narcissism: from fairy tales to harsh reality. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 22(3), 392-405.
- Goldthorpe, J.H. (1991). The uses of history in sociology: reflections on some recent trends. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 42(2), 211-230.
- Goldthorpe, J.H. (1997). Current issues in comparative macrosociology: a debate on methodological issues. *Comparative Social Research*, 16, 1-26.
- Greenstein, F. (1969). Personality and politics. Chicago: Markham Publishing Company.
- Hagan, J.D. (1994). Domestic political systems and war proneness. *Mershon International Studies Review*, (38), 183-207.
- Hagan, J.D. (1995). 'Domestic political explanations in the analysis of foreign policy.' In Neack, L., Hey, J.A.K., and Haney, P.J. *Foreign policy analysis, contiguity and change in its second generation*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Harder, P. (1980). Discourse as self-expression on the reduced personality of the secondlanguage learner. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 262-270.
- Henderson, J. (1980). Muldoon and Rowling: a preliminary analysis of contrasting personalities. *Political Science*, 32(1), 26-46.
- Hermann, M.G. (1974). 'Leader personality and foreign policy behaviour.' In Rosenau, J.N. *Comparing foreign policies: theories, findings, and methods*. New York: Sage-Halsted.

- Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. (1977). A psychological examination of political leaders. New York: Free Press.
- Hermann, M.G. (1977). 'Some personal characteristics related to foreign aid voting of congressmen.' In Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. A psychological examination of political leaders. New York: Free Press.
- Herman, M.G. (1978). 'Effects of personal characteristics of leaders on foreign policy.' In East,M.A. et al. *Why nations act.* Beverley Hills: Sage.
- Hermann, M.G. (1980a). Explaining foreign policy behaviour using the personal characteristics of political leaders. *International Studies Quarterly*, 24(1), 7-46.
- Hermann, M.G. (1980b). Assessing the personalities of Soviet politburo members. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, (6), 332-352.
- Hermann, M.G. (1984). Validating a technique for assessing personalities of political leaders at a distance: a pretest. Report prepared for Defense Systems, Inc. as part of Contract DSI-84-1240.
- Hermann, M.G. (1985). *Validating a technique for assessing personalities of political leaders at a distance: a test using three heads of state.* Report prepared for Defense Systems, Inc. for Contract DSI-84-1240.
- Hermann, M.G. (1986). Effects of speech and interview materials on profiles of leaders at a distance: a validation exercise. Report prepared for Defense Systems, Inc. as part of Contract DSI-85-1240.
- Hermann, M.G. (1987). *Handbook for assessing personal characteristics and foreign policy orientations of political leaders*. Columbus: Mershon Center, Ohio State University.
- Hermann, M.G. (1988). Validating a technique for assessing a personalities of political leaders at a distance: profiles of 12 leaders from the same culture. Report prepared for Defense Systems, Inc., as part of Contract DSI-87-1240.

- Hermann, M.G. (1993). 'Leaders and foreign policy decision making.' in Caldwell, D., and McKeown, T. *Diplomacy, force, and leadership: essays in honor of Alexander George.* Boulder: Westview Press.
- Hermann, M.G. (1999). *Assessing leadership style: a trait analysis*. New York: Social Science Automation, Inc.
- Hermann, M.G. and Kogan, N. (1977). 'Personality and negotiating behaviour.' In Druckman, D. Negotiations: social-psychological perspectives. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Hermann, M.G. and Hermann, C.F. (1989). Who makes foreign policy decisions and how: an empirical inquiry. *International Studies Quarterly* (33), 361-387.
- Hermann, M.G. and Preston, T. (1994). Presidents, advisers, and foreign policy: the effect of leadership style on executive arrangements. *Political Psychology* (15), 75-96.
- Hermann, M.G. and Kegley, C.W. (1995). Rethinking democracy and international peace: perspectives from political psychology. *International Studies Quarterly*, (39), 511-533.
- Hershman, D.J. and Lieb, J. (1994). *A brotherhood of tyrants: manic depression and absolute power*. Amherst: Prometheus.
- Hogg, M.A. and Adelman, J. (2013). Uncertainty-identity theory: extreme groups, radical behaviour, and authoritarian leadership. *Journal of Social Issues*, 69(3), 436-454.
- Houlcroft, L., Bore, M., and Munro, D. (2012) Three faces of narcissism. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 53(3), 274-278.
- Huddy, L., et al. (2013). *The Oxford handbook of political psychology: second edition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Hughes, S. (2003, March). Eyewitness: Halabja gas attack. Retrieved from http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/2855139.stm [Accessed: 11 Jan. 2019].
- Human Rights Watch (1993, July). Genocide in Iraq. The Anfal campaign against the Kurds. A Middle East watch report. Retrieved from https://www.hrw.org/reports/1993/iraqanfal/ANFALINT.htm [Accessed 14 Jan. 2019].
- Huttenbach, H.R. (1999). 'The psychology and politics of genocide denial: a comparison of four case studies.' In Chorbajian, L. and Shirinian, G. *Studies in comparative genocide*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jervis, R. (2013). Do leaders matter and how would we know? *Security Studies*, 22(2), 153-179.
- Johnston, P., et al. (1980). Mirror images in Americans' perceptions of nations and leaders during the Iranian hostage crisis. *Journal of Peace Research*, 17(4), 325-337.
- Jordan, E., Gross, M.E., Javernick-Will, A.N. and Garvin, M.J. (2011). Use and misuse of qualitative comparative analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1159-1173.
- Kaarbo, J. and Hermann, M.G. (1998). Leadership styles of prime ministers: how individual differences affect the foreign policymaking process. *Leadership Quarterly*, (9), 243-263.
- Kant, I. (1983[1797-1798]). 'Metaphysik der sitten. werke in 10 bänden: vol. 7.' In Wurmser, L (2003). The annihilating power of absoluteness: superego analysis in the severe neuroses, especially in character perversion. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 20(2), 214-235.
- Kats, I., Eilam-Shamir, E., Kark, R., and Berson, Y. (2018). Leadership now: reflections on the legacy of Boas Shamir (monographs in leadership and management, vol. 9). Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited.

- Keane, W. (2005). 'Estrangement, intimacy, and the objects of anthropology'. In Steinmetz, G. *The politics of method in the human sciences: positivism and its epistemological others*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Kelly, M.J. (2007). The Anfal trial against Saddam Hussein. *Journal of Genocide Research*, 9(2), 235-242.
- Kelman, H.C. (1973). Violence without moral restrain: reflections on the dehumanisation of victims and victimisers. *Journal of Social Issues*, 29(4).
- Kelman, H.C. (1983). Conversations with Arafat: a social-psychological assessment of the prospects for Israeli-Palestinian peace. *American Psychologist*, (38), 203-216.
- Kelman, H.C. and Hamilton, V.L. (1989). *Crimes of obedience: towards a social psychology of authority and responsibility*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Kent, N. (2005). Srebrenica. London: Oberon Books.

Kernberg, O. (1975). Borderline conditions and pathological narcissism. New York: Aronson.

- Kernberg, O.F. (1998). 'Pathological narcissism and narcissistic personality disorder: theoretical background and diagnostic classification.' In Ronningstam, E.F. *Disorders of narcissism: diagnostic, clinical, and empirical implications*. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association.
- Kets de Vries, M.F.R., and Miller, D. (1997). Narcissism and leadership: an object relations perspective. *Human Relations*, 38(6), 583-601.
- Kiser, E. and Hechter, M. (1991). The role of general theory in comparative-historical sociology. *American Journal of Sociology*, 97(1), 1-30.
- Kiser, E. and Hechter, M. (1998). The debate on historical sociology: rational choice and its critics. *American Journal of Sociology*, 104 (3), 785-816.

Kocka, J. (2003). Comparison and beyond. History and Theory, 42(1), 39-44.

Kohut, H. (1971). The analysis of the self. New York: International University Press.

- Kohut, H. (1972). Thoughts on narcissism and narcissistic rage. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 27, 360-400.
- Kohut, H. (1977). The restoration of the self. New York: International University Press.
- Kohut, H. (1978). The search for the self. vol. II. New York: International University Press.

Kohut, H. (1984). Serious personality disorder. New Haven: Yale University Press.

- Kruglanski, A.W. and Ajzen, I. (1983). Bias and error in human judgement. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 13, 1-44.
- Lachkar, J. (2004). *The narcissistic/borderline couple*. New York and Hove: Brunner-Routledge.
- Lammers, J. and Stapel, D.A. (2010). Power increases dehumanisation. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 14(1), 113-126.

Lasswell, H.D. (1930). Psychopathology and politics. New York: Viking.

Lasswell, H.D. (1948). Power and personality. New York: Routledge.

- LeBor, A. (2002). *Slobodan Milošević and the destruction of Yugoslavia*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Lee, R. (1995). Humility and the political servant: Jimmy Carter's post-presidential rhetoric of virtue and power. *Southern Communication Journal*, 60(2), 120-130.
- Lefcourt, H.M. (1976). *Locus of control: current trends in theory and research*. New York: Halstead.

LeVine, R.A. and Campbell, D.T. (1972). *Ethnocentrism*. New York: Wiley.

- Levine, L.J., Lench, H.C., and Safer, M.A. (2009). Functions of remembering and misremembering emotion. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 23(8), 1059-1075.
- Lichtenberg, J.O., Lachmann, F.M., and Fosshage, J.L. (1996). *The clinical exchange: techniques derived from self and motivational states*. Hillsdale: Analytic Press.

Lukacs, J. (1997). The Hitler of history. New York: Knopf.

- MacIntyre, P.D. and Gardner, R.C. (1994). The subtle effects of language anxiety on cognitive processing in the second language. *Language Learning*, 44(2), 283-305.
- Mahoney, J. and Goertz, G. (2006). A tale of two cultures: contrasting quantitative and qualitative research. *Political Analysis*, 14(3), 227-249.
- Mahoney, J. and Rueschemeyer, D. (2003). *Comparative historical analysis in the social sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Marcos, L.R. (2018). Bilinguals in psychotherapy: language as an emotional barrier. The *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 30(4), 552-560.
- Matthes, J. and Kohring, M. (2008). The content analysis of media frames: toward improving reliability and validity. *Journal of Communication*, 58(2), 258-279.

McClelland, D.C. (1975). Power: the inner experience. New York: Irvington Publishers.

- Mercer, B., Wapner, W., and Gardner, H. (1977). A study of confabulation. *Archives of Neurology*, 34(7), 429-433.
- Miles, M. and Huberman, M. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

- Millon, T. (1990). *Wiley series on personality processes. toward a new personology: an evolutionary model.* Oxford: John Wiley and Sons.
- Millon, T. and Davis, R.D. (2000). 'Personality disorders in modern life.' In Strack, S. (2005). *Handbook of personology and psychopathology*. Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons.
- Milgram, S. (1974). Obedience to authority. New York: Harper & Row.
- Milutinovic, R. (2017, June). Milošević 'didn't command' Serbs in Bosnia, Croatia. Retrieved from https://balkaninsight.com/2017/06/28/milošević-didn-t-command-serbs-inbosnia-croatia-06-28-2017/ [Accessed: 11th Apr. 2019].
- Monroe, K. (2008). Cracking the code of genocide: the moral psychology of rescuers, bystanders, and Nazis during the Holocaust. *Political Psychology*, 29(5), 699-736.

Opotow, S. (1990). Moral exclusion and injustice: an introduction. *Journal of Social Issues*, 46(1), 1-20.

Payne, S (1951). The art of asking questions. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- Pech, R.J. and Slade, B. (2007). Organisational sociopaths: rarely challenged, often promoted. Why? *Society and Business Review*, 2(3), 254-269.
- Peterson, J. (2007). Unpacking show trials: situating the trial of Saddam Hussein. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 48(1), 257-292.
- Post, J.M. (1991). Saddam Hussein of Iraq: a political psychology profile. *Political Psychology*, 12, 279-289.
- Post, J.M. (1993). Current concepts of the narcissistic personality: implications for political psychology. *Political Psychology*, 14(1), 99-121.

- Post, J.M. (2003, Dec). Rathole Under the Palace. Retrieved from https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-2003-dec-21-op-post21-story.html [Accessed: 2nd Apr. 2019].
- Post, J.M. (2005). The psychological assessment of political leaders: with profiles of Saddam Hussein and Bill Clinton. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Post, J.M. and Panis, L.K. (2005). Tyranny on trial: personality and courtroom conduct of defendants Slobodan Milošević and Saddam Hussein. *Cornell International Law Journal* 38(3), 823-836.
- Povinelli, E.A. (2001). Radical worlds: the anthropology of incommensurability and inconceivability. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 30 (1), 319-334.
- Ragin, C.C. (1987). The comparative method. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Ramet, S.P. (2003). Review essay: in search of the 'real' Milošević: new books about the rise and fall of Serbia's strongman. *Journal of Human Rights*, 2(3), 455-466.
- Rankin, R.E., and Quarrick, E.A. (1964). Personality and attitude toward a political event. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 20(2), 189.
- Reyes, A. (2011). Strategies of legitimisation in political discourse: from words to actions. *Discourse and Society*, 22(6), 781-807.
- Robins, S.K. (2016). Misremembering. Political Psychology, 29(3), 432-447.
- Robins, R.S., and Post, J.M. (1997). *Political paranoia: the psychopolitics of hatred*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Ronningstam, E.F. (1998). *Disorders of narcissism: diagnostic, clinical, and empirical implications*. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association.

- Rosenau, J.N. (1974). *Comparing foreign policies: theories, findings, and methods*. New York: Sage-Halsted.
- Rosenbaum, R. (1998). *Explaining Hitler: the search for the origins of his evil*. New York: Random House.
- Rosenthal, S.A., and Pittinsky, T.L. (2006). Narcissistic leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 617-633.
- Roth, G. (2001). 'Fühlen, denken, handeln: wie das gehirn unser verhalten steuert.' In Wurmser, L. (2003). The annihilating power of absoluteness: superego analysis in the severe neuroses, especially in character perversion. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 20(2), 214-235.
- Rubin, M. and Hewstone, M. (1998). Social identity theory's self-esteem hypothesis: a review and some suggestions for clarification. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 2(1), 40-62.
- Ryngaert, C. and Schrijver, N. (2015). Lessons learned from the Srebrenica massacre: from UN peacekeeping reform to legal responsibility. *Netherlands International Law Review*, 62(2), 219-227.
- Sassoon, J. (2011). Saddam Hussein's Ba'ath party: inside an authoritarian regime. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Scharf, M. (2002). Slobodan Milošević on trial: a companion. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Scharf, M. (2003). The legacy of the Milošević trial. New England Law Review, 37, 915.
- Scharf, M.P. (2007). The Iraqi high tribunal: a viable experiment in international justice? *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 5(2), 258-263.
- Schneiderhan, E. (2013). Genocide reconsidered: a pragmatist approach. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 43(3), 280-300.

- Shamir, B., Arthur, M.B., and House, R.J. (2018). 'The rhetoric of charismatic leadership: a theoretical extension, a case study, and implications for research.' In Katz, I., Eilam-Shamir, E., Kark, R., and Berson, Y. *Leadership now: reflections on the legacy of Boas Shamir (monographs in leadership and management, vol. 9).* Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Shaw, E.D. (2003). Saddam Hussein: political psychological profiling results relevant to his possession, use, and possible transfer of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) to terrorist groups. *Conflict and Terrorism*, 26(5), 347-364.
- Shütz, A. (1993). Self-presentational tactics used in a German election campaign. *Political Psychology*, 14(3), 469-491.
- Sigelman, L. (2010). The presentation of self in presidential life: onstage and backstage with Johnson and Nixon. *Political Communication*, 18(1), 1-22.
- Silverman, D. (2013). Doing qualitative research. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Singer, E., and Hudson, V. (1992). *Political psychology and foreign policy*. Westview Press: Boulder.
- Slater, D. and Ziblatt, D. (2013). The enduring indispensability of the controlled comparison. *Comparative Political Studies*, 46(10), 1301-1327.
- Snyder, J. (1987). Public appearances, private realities: the psychology of self-monitoring. New York: W.H. Freeman.
- Snyder, J. (1991). *Myths of empire: domestic politics and international ambition*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Snyder, J. (2000). From voting to violence: democratisation and nationalist conflict. New York: W.W. Norton.

Stevanovic, V. and Filipovic, Z. (2004). Milosevic: the people's tyrant. London: I.B. Tauris.

- Stewart, P.D., Hermann, M.G., and Hermann, C.F. (1989). Modelling the 1973 Soviet decision to support Egypt. *American Political Science Review*, (83), 35-59.
- Strack, S. (2005). *Handbook of personology and psychopathology*. Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons.
- Strozier, C. B. (1983). 'Fantasy, self psychology and the inner logic of cults.' In Goldberg, A. *The future of psychoanalysis*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Suedfeld, P. and Rank, A.D. (1976). Revolutionary leaders: long-term success as a function of changes in conceptual complexity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, (34): 169-178.
- Suedfeld, P. (1992). Cognitive misers and their critics. Political Psychology, (13), 435-453.
- Tajfel, H. (2010). *Social identity and intergroup relations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Totten, S., Bartrop, P.R., and Jacobs, S.L. (2008). *Dictionary of genocide: volume 1*. Santa Barbara: ABC-CLIO.
- Tournaye, C. (2003). Genocidal intent before the ICTY. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 52(2), 447-462.
- Tucker, R.C. (1990). *Stalin in power: the revolution from above, 1928-1941*. New York: Norton.
- Turnbull, O.H., Jenkins, S., and Rowley, M.L. (2014). The pleasantness of false beliefs: an emotion-based account of confabulation. *Neuropsychoanalysis*, 6(1), 5-16.
- Vaknin, S. (2010). Narcissistic and psychopathic leaders. New York: Narcissus Publishing.

Valenty, L.O. and Feldman, O. (2002). *Political leadership for the new century: personality and behaviour among American leaders*. Santa Barbara: Greenwood Publishing Group.

Vasquez, J. (1993). The war puzzle. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Vecchio, R.P. (1997). *Leadership: understanding the dynamics of power and influence in organisations*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.

Veyne, P. (1990). Bread and circuses. London: Allen Lane.

Vladisavljevik, N. (2004). Institutional power and the rise of Milošević. *Nationalities Papers*, 32(1), 183-205.

Volkan, V. (1988). The need to have enemies and allies. New York: Aronson.

- Volkan, V., and Itzkowitz, N. (1984). *The immortal Atatürk*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Vollen, L. (2001). All that remains: identifying the victims of the Srebrenica massacre. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*, 10(3), 336-340.

Waite, R.G.L. (1977). The psychopathic god: Adolf Hitler. New York: Basic Books.

Walker, S.G. (1983). The motivational foundations of political belief systems. *International Studies Quarterly*, (27), 179-202.

Wallas, G. (1920 [1908]). Human nature in politics. Piscataway: Transaction Publishers.

Weeks, J.L. (2012). Authoritarian regimes and the initiation of international conflict. *American Political Science Review*, 106(2), 326-347.
- Weintraub, W. (2005). 'Great personality traits and ego defences.' In Post, J.M. The psychological assessment of political leaders: with profiles of Saddam Hussein and Bill Clinton. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Whatmore, S. (2003). *Using social theory*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications in associate with the Open University.
- Winter, D.G. (1980). An exploratory study of the motives of southern African political leaders measured at a distance. *Political Psychology*, 2(2), 75-85.
- Winter, D.G. (1987). Leader appeal, leader performance, and the motive profiles of leaders and followers: a study of American presidents and elections. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(1), 196-202.
- Winter, D.G. (1992). 'Personality and foreign policy: historical overview.' In Singer, E., and Hudson, V. *Political psychology and foreign policy*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Winter, D.G. (2005). 'Motivations and mediation of self-other relationships.' In Post, J.M. The psychological assessment of political leaders: with profiles of Saddam Hussein and Bill Clinton. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Winter, D.G. and Stewart, A.J. (1977). 'Content analysis as a technique for assessing political leaders.' In Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. A psychological examination of political leaders. New York: Free Press.
- Winter, D.G., Hermann, M.G., Walker, S.G. and Weintraub, W. (1991). The personalities of Bush and Gorbachev measured at a distance: procedures, portraits, and policy. *Political Psychology*, (12), 215-243.
- Wintrobe, R. (2000). *The political economy of dictatorship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Wong, E. (2006, April). Hussein charged with genocide in 50,000 deaths. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/05/world/middleeast/05iraq.html [Accessed 12 Jan. 2019].
- Wurmser, L. (2003). The annihilating power of absoluteness: superego analysis in the severe neuroses, especially in character perversion. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*, 20(2), 214-235.
- Ziller, R.C., Stone, W.F., Jackson, R.M., and Terbovic, N.J. (1977). 'Self-other orientations and political behaviour.' In Hermann, M.G. and Milburn, T.W. *A psychological examination of political leaders*. New York: Free Press.
- Zonis, M. (1991). Majestic failure: the fall of the Shah. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Software

Young, M.D. (1997). Profiler plus [Software]. New York: Social Science Automation, Inc.

Transcripts

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1979, July). *President Saddam Husayn addresses nation*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DAB4EA894054F8@2444073-11DAB4EF5ED43A28@16-11DAB4EF8A87C530@PRESIDENT%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESSES% 20NATION&p_docnum=38 [Accessed 16 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad INA. (1979, August). *Husayn speech at rally*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.standrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA9730C3CE1F00@2444095-11DA9736D72CEC20@22-11DA97371C38E638@Husayn%20Speech%20at%20Rally&p_docnum=41 [Accessed 16

Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1979, November). *Saddam Husayn speech*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA793778C5A548@2444199-11DA7938506A06C0@4-

11DA793885D62018@Saddam%20Husayn%20Speech&p_docnum=49 [Accessed 16 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AR-RA'Y AL-'AMM. (1979, July). *Husayn interview*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-

andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DAB4EA894054F8@2444073-11DAB4F05A2D4B40@20-

11DAB4F095D6B2C0@Husayn%20Interview&p_docnum=37 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris AL-MUSTAQBAL.

(1979, October). Saddam Husayn grants interview to Paris Al-Mustaqbali. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA6EF792595788@2444164-11DA6EFD274D3BC8@15-

11DA6EFD594D3BE0@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20GRANTS%20INTERVIEW%20T O%20PARIS%20AL-MUSTAQBALI&p_docnum=46 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, January). President addresses army, speaks on Iran, Afghanistan. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D90128AE6C7DB0@2444247-11D90132A823F0A0@27-11D90132E4A9ECE0@PRESIDENT%20ADDRESSES%20ARMY%2C%20SPEAKS% 20ON%20IRAN%2C%20AFGHANISTAN&p_docnum=51 [Accessed 16 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, February). *President addresses army, speaks on Iran, Afghanistan*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D623237A56A1A0@2444282-11D623291DBE9FC0@21-

11D62329542A9CE8@BAHRAINI%20WEEKLY%20INTERVIEWS%20INFORMATI ON%20MINISTER&p_docnum=54 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, February). President Saddam Husayn proposes pan-Arab charter. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DB496CDD89D038@2444281-11DB497A3AA22D78@22-11DB497A93423CA0@PRESIDENT%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20PROPOSES%20 PAN-ARAB%20CHARTER&p_docnum=53 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, March). *Iraqi president's speech read to pan-arab conference*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D624333A1A7360@2444326-11D624349F0DDFF8@6-11D62434D44AEA70@IRAQI%20PRESIDENT%27S%20SPEECH%20READ%20TO %20PAN-ARAB%20CONFERENCE&p_docrum=62 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, April). Saddam Husayn gives speech at public rally on 1 Apr. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D472646833FA18@2444333-11D4726AD6BAB9C0@25-11D4726B0ACFD280@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20GIVES%20SPEECH%20AT%20P UBLIC%20RALLY%20ON%201%20APR&p_docnum=64 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, April). *President Husayn criticises leaders in nineveh speech*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D86900A4F7D630@2444347-11D86909C9D46350@28-

11D8690A11BD1788@PRESIDENT%20HUSAYN%20CRITICIZES%20IRANIAN%20 LEADERS%20IN%20NINEVEH%20SPEECH&p_docnum=67 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, April). *Saddam Husayn speaks to polk poets seminar 24 Apr*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DAAD400F72C1F8@2444358-11DAAD461DF5B550@16-11DAAD4669AD4408@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20SPEAKS%20TO%20POLK%20P OETS%20SEMINAR%2024%20APR&p_docnum=68 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, June). Saddam Husayn addresses AR-RAMADI public rally. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA6D4E6F7D3618@2444410-11DA6D54F4268A48@17-11DA6D5538B384A8@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESSES%20AR-RAMADI%20PUBLIC%20RALLY&p_docnum=74 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, July). *Saddam Husayn address to nation on 17 July anniversary*. [Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA6DE8EB2E90C0@2444439-11DA6DFA8F7C4390@17-11DA6DFB6FD70260@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESS%20TO%20NATION %20ON%2017%20JULY%20ANNIVERSARY&p_docnum=78 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, August). *Excerpts of Saddam Husayn's speech on bombing Tel Aviv*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D509BB1EDA8B58@2444473-11D509C13A0AE300@18-11D509C16C6A8390@EXCERPTS%20OF%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%27S%20SPE ECH%20ON%20BOMBING%20TEL%20AVIV&p_docnum=82 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, August). President Saddam Husayn addresses trade unions congress. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D64DC3F62B33E8@2444479-11D64DC9112582D8@21-11D64DC937D87688@PRESIDENT%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESSES%2 0TRADE%20UNIONS%20CONGRESS&p_docnum=84 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, September). *Text of president's speech to national assembly 17 Sep.* Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D658406C01F208@2444501-11D65845B257D140@19-

11D65845DD7BA738@TEXT%20OF%20PRESIDENT%27S%20SPEECH%20TO%20 NATIONAL%20ASSEMBLY%2017%20SEP&p_docnum=89 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1980, September). President speaks of Arab Cooperation to industry ministers. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D658776F21BC20@2444505-11D6587DF7526A20@26-11D6587E2B015A88@PRESIDENT%20SPEAKS%20OF%20ARAB%20COOPERATI ON%20TO%20INDUSTRY%20MINISTERS&p_docnum=90 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1980, November). Saddam Husayn address to national assembly 4 Nov. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D7C9C0960ABB10@2444549-11D7C9C6BA85E730@17-11D7C9C70A823750@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESS%20TO%20NATIONA L%20ASSEMBLY%204%20NOV&p_docnum=126 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AL-QABAS. (1981, January). Saddam Husayn interviewed by Kuwaiti journalists. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3B36643AACC08@2444627-11D3B371B432B390@25-11D3B371F7516C38@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20INTERVIEWED%20BY%20KUW AITI%20JOURNALISTS&p_docnum=146 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1981, March). Saddam Husayn praises Iraqis' fighting spirit. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3DE35CB53BC08@2444680-11D3DE39C27D40B8@17-11D3DE39E2A74EB0@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20PRAISES%20IRAQIS%27%20FI GHTING%20SPIRIT&p_docnum=157 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: London AL-HAWADITH. (1981, April). Saddam Husayn interviewed on war aims, mediation. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D5C99F1716D3E0@2444718-11D5C9A60AD53950@23-11D5C9A640F6C3E0@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20INTERVIEWED%20ON%20WAR %20AIMS%2C%20MEDIATION&p_docnum=165 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Rio de Janeiro O GLOBO. (1981, June). Saddam Husayn interviewed by Brazilian television. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3C5D4060E5AA0@2444788-11D3C5E1873104C8@36-11D3C5E1D00040A0@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20INTERVIEWED%20BY%20BRA ZILIAN%20TELEVISION&p_docnum=173 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1981, June). *Baghdad carries ABC interview with Saddam Husayn*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3C5D4060E5AA0@2444788-11D3C5DEB4340768@28-11D3C5DEF7576778@BAGHDAD%20CARRIES%20ABC%20INTERVIEW%20WIT H%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN&p_docnum=174 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad INA. (1981, July). Saddam Husayn speech. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.standrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D467D9B14F61F0@2444800-11D467DF0A86CB30@20-11D467DF49CD5A88@Saddam%20Husayn%20Speech&p_docnum=175 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Monte Carlo Radio Monte Carlo. (1981, September). Saddam Husayn discusses Iranian war, other issues. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11E58A6FE17BC700@2444859-11E58A73052BF980@11-11E58A7347C84770@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20DISCUSSES%20IRANIAN%20W AR%2C%200THER%20ISSUES&p_docnum=183 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1981, November). *President Saddam Husayn proposes cease-fire*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D46A031130AE38@2444915-11D46A0A74B11ED8@2911D46A0A9F5B0210@PRESIDENT%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20PROPOSES%20 CEASE-FIRE&p_docnum=188 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1982, January). *President Saddam Husayn's army day speech*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D28430DED45D50@2444978-11D2843835F6DD78@22-11D28438776B60C0@PRESIDENT%20SADDAM%20HUSAYN%27S%20ARMY%20 DAY%20SPEECH&p_docnum=193 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1982, February). *Saddam Husayn speech at an-najaf medal ceremony*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CFA179C4289728@2445026-11CFA18292288770@39-11CFA182B66961E0@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20SPEECH%20AT%20AN-NAJAF%20MEDAL%20CEREMONY&p_docnum=200 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1982, March). Saddam Husayn welcomes AL-YARMUK forces. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D28244F03F2E58@2445033-11D2824E9A524418@35-11D2824EC5091000@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20WELCOMES%20AL-YARMUK%20FORCES&p_docrum=201 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1982, June). Saddam Husayn: troops to withdraw from Iran. [Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CEAC935B4B9450@2445143-11CEAC9A420F4478@25-11CEAC9A6CAB97B8@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%3A%20TROOPS%20TO%20WITH DRAW%20FROM%20IRAN&p_docnum=213 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1982, August). *Saddam Husayn reiterates warning to ships*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CEAD28129143F0@2445198-11CEAD2E6C3B16F0@22-11CEAD2E9B198000@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20REITERATES%20WARNING%2 0TO%20SHIPS&p_docnum=215 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1982, September). *Husayn interviewed on Gulf War relations with Syria*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=7&p_queryname=7&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D28C9B4D65B3E8@2445227-11D28CA3C9F437C0@38-11D28CA3EE866680@HUSAYN%20INTERVIEWED%20ON%20GULF%20WAR%2 C%20RELATIONS%20WITH%20SYRIA&p_docnum=2 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1983, January). *Saddam Husayn addresses Kurdish fighters*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CEADE21D2C0098@2445356-11CEADE810752A50@19-11CEADE8589C2008@SADDAM%20HUSAYN%20ADDRESSES%20KURDISH%20 FIGHTERS&p_docnum=226 [Accessed 17 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad INA. (1983, January). 25 Aug solarz interview with Saddam Husayn. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DAA0B8C057C4C0@2445339-11DAA0BC9ABCE8E0@13-11DAA0BCD81A45D8@25%20AUG%20SOLARZ%20INTERVIEW%20WITH%20SA DDAM%20HUSAYN&p_docnum=222 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1983, July). Saddam Husayn 17 July anniversary speech. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D28051C438D750@2445534-11D28057AC305508@21-11D28057D6F50F58@Saddam%20Husayn%2017%20July%20Anniversary%20Speech&

p_docnum=242 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1983, September). *Saddam Husayn exhorts countrymen to victory*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D97158C6B10B10@2445586-11D9715EBB47A8C0@2211D9715EFA06A128@Saddam%20Husayn%20Exhorts%20Countrymen%20to%20Victo ry&p_docnum=247 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1983, April). *Saddam Husayn addresses Islamic conference*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3D6FB65A9D498@2445443-11D3D7003324A6C0@17-11D3D70067A29F28@Saddam%20Husayn%20Addresses%20Islamic%20Conference&p _docnum=235 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AL-ANBA'. (1983, April). *Text of Saddam Husayn 27 Apr AL-ANBA' interview*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CEAA8AF8E6C5C0@2445457-11CEAA942C93F108@23-11CEAA945EA67368@Text%20of%20Saddam%20Husayn%2027%20Apr%20AL-ANBA%27%20Interview&p_docnum=236 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1983, November). Saddam Husayn addresses peasant delegations. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CEA645C323E0F8@2445642-11CEA65091DFAB18@27-11CEA65108027210@Saddam%20Husayn%20Addresses%20Peasant%20Delegations&p docnum=255 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Domestic Service. (1983, October). *President meets French representatives*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3B9210E9BC6D0@2445625-11D3B92E9F7089C8@26-

11D3B92EE826A020@President%20Meets%20French%20Media%20Representatives&p _docnum=253 [Accessed 18 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1984, January). *Saddam Husayn discusses 'Arafat Cairo visit*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CE29812EE38E28@2445709-11CE298CDAC4C498@32-11CE298D085B05D8@Saddam%20Husayn%20Discusses%20%27Arafat%20Cairo%20 Visit&p_docnum=263 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait ARAB TIMES. (1984, May). Saddam Husayn comments on war, relations with Syria. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D276DA813F07E0@2445829-11D276E0383F42F0@18-11D276E0A9507250@Saddam%20Husayn%20Comments%20on%20War%2C%20Relati ons%20With%20Syria&p_docnum=271 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AL-ANBA'. (1984, May). *Saddam Husayn interview with Kuwaiti paper*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D5C501AB97F150@2445843-11D5C50A227A62B0@27-11D5C50A5A77ECB8@Saddam%20Husayn%20Interview%20With%20Kuwaiti%20Pap er&p_docnum=272 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1984, April). President comments on Khomeyni speech, war. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D2759533333D20@2445801-11D2759BB3D8A0F8@23-11D2759BEC5A10A0@President%20Comments%20on%20Khomeyni%20Speech%2C% 20War&p_docnum=268 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AL-SIYASAH'. (1985, July). *Kuwaiti paper interviews Saddam Husayn*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D3B1CFE94FB2E8@2446280-11D3B1D51A408908@13-11D3B1D55BA85A50@Kuwaiti%20Paper%20Interviews%20Saddam%20Husayn&p_do cnum=325 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1985, March). *Speaks on women's rights*. [Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg40DI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DA5DCB06AF78B8@2446133-11DA5DD63A29F038@1811DA5DD684155D48@Speaks%20on%20Women%27s%20Rights&p_docnum=311 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Kuwait AL-QABAS. (1986, February). Kuwaiti Daily AL-QABAS interviews Saddam Husayn. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D305215702FDC0@2446469-11D30527DC43DED0@21-11D30528072D3820@Kuwaiti%20Daily%20AL-QABAS%20Interviews%20Saddam%20Husayn&p_docnum=348 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1986, January). *Saddam Husayn pledges Arab support, criticises Iran*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11D2BCDD19F87000@2446454-11D2BCEB593B9840@35-11D2BCEBC0C2FA10@Saddam%20Husayn%20Pledges%20Arab%20Support%2C%20

Criticizes%20Iran&p_docnum=347 [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris LE MATIN. (1987, July). President interviewed on Gulf War, French ties. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11DAC5818F772EC8@2447015-11DAC58536A04C78@8-11DAC585A17A4060@President%20Interviewed%20on%20Gulf%20War%2C%20Fren ch%20Ties&p_docnum=412 [Accessed 21 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Baghdad Voice of the Masses. (1987, August). *Saddam Husayn on UN security council resolution*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=5&p_queryname=5&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-11CE19DE6626A5B8@2447040-11CE19E794ABE2A8@30-

11CE19E7DB654288@Saddam%20Husayn%20on%20UN%20Security%20Council%20 Resolution&p_docnum=416 [Accessed 21 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, June). *Milosevic on 'positive direction' of future talks*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc

&s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231C8A509D15610@2448418-1231C8B1AE903638@42-

1231C8B1DB4DDE40@Milosevic%20on%20%27Positive%20Direction%27%20of%20 Future%20Talks&p_docnum=83 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris Le Monde. (1989, July). *Paris Le Monde interviews Milosevic*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1232B3FFB4640490@2447725-1232B40FE575D418@54-

1232B410213148B0@Paris%20LE%20MONDE%20Interviews%20Milosevic&p_docnu m=38 [Accessed: 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Politika. (1989, September). *Politika carries Milosevic interview*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1232C711E60ED7F8@2447788-1232C723701A29C8@52-1232C7239A456EF0@POLITIKA%20Carries%20Milosevic%20Interview&p_docnum=4 1 [Accessed: 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Pristina Rilindja. (1989, December). *Milosevic interview on Serbia-Slovenia dispute*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1232A084B34A3FC0@2447895-1232A0A1CAB0C548@103-1232A0A205063970@Milosevic%20Interview%20on%20Serbia-Slovenia%20Dispute&p_docnum=45 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Politika. (1989, May). *Milosevic addresses Serbian presidency session*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1233545ED917A520@2447659-1233546EE70F9688@54-1233546F1AFF4910@Milosevic%20Addresses%20Serbian%20Presidency%20Session& p_docnum=32 [Accessed: 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Domestic Service. (1990, June). *Milosevic on new constitution*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1242C146DE0005F0@2448069-1242C1596E5B8DC0@541242C15997AD7E30@Milosevic%20on%20New%20Constitution&p_docnum=58 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Domestic Service. (1990, July). New president on party goals. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231FE6C9D2E0050@2448090-1231FE82407C1D70@72-1231FE829B758A80@New%20President%20on%20Party%20Goals&p_docnum=59 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Domestic Service. (1990, September). *Milosevic views future, unity in pirot address*. [Online] Infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-123201ED876DC390@2448145-1232020186C05908@65-

12320201BA9B7E88@Milosevic%20Views%20Future%2C%20Unity%20in%20Pirot%2 0Address&p_docnum=61 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, August). *Milosevic interview with sky news reported*. [Online] Infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.standrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231B165DDB82780@2448477-1231B172E818A218@40-

1231B173368AB908@Milosevic%20Interview%20With%20Sky%20News%20Reported &p_docnum=86 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, September). *Milosevic discusses Hague conference continuation*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231DC152E6F7768@2448520-1231DC21DFDA0998@36-1231DC222D7131A8@Milosevic%20Discusses%20Hague%20Conference%20Continuat ion&p_docnum=87 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, October). *Milosevic interviewed on talks*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231E204A3DE28D0@2448541-1231E20FF1505038@33-1231E2102695F1E8@Milosevic%20Interviewed%20on%20Talks&p_docnum=91 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Sarajevo Radio Sarajevo Network. (1991, October). *Milosevic rejects territorial pretensions*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231E689EDDF83D8@2448558-1231E6966158CDE0@39-1231E696A312D608@Milosevic%20Rejects%20Territorial%20Pretensions&p_docnum= 94 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Belgrade Network. (1991, November). *Milosevic says talks successful*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231B37BCFAABA18@2448580-1231B38817429318@36-1231B388502EA788@Milosevic%20Says%20Talks%20Successful&p_docnum=99 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, December). *Milosevic satisfied with talks*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231B5E9BB151770@2448594-1231B5F3E0FD34A8@31-

1231B5F41CF3F8D8@Milosevic%20Satisfied%20With%20Talks&p_docnum=101 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1991, December). *Milosevic evaluates dialogue*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-12329DC99D55CBD0@2448600-12329DD660831308@41-12329DD6AC3D6C98@Milosevic%20Evaluates%20Dialogue&p_docnum=102 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Belgrade Network. (1991, December). *Milosevic assesses talks*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc

&s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231BAF9AE7F84C8@2448622-1231BB0093793EA8@221231BB00DF215858@Milosevic%20Assesses%20Talks&p_docnum=107 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Vecernje Novosti. (1991, December). *Milosevic on peace prospects, economic issues*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=image%2Fv2:11C33B0D5F8 60D98@FBISX-12322708399D7360@2448652-1232271D0EFBC508-1232271D53CBF288&p_docnum=112 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTV Sat TV. (1992, January). *Milosevic interviewed*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-123222EAA65E0D50@2448632-123222F3C2660B50@30-123222F41B173610@Milosevic%20Interviewed&p_docnum=108 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, May). *Milosevic interviewed on current situation*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-12323DD3652CD0B0@2448772-12323DE271A7DA60@51-12323DE2B3D132A0@Milosevic%20Interviewed%20on%20Current%20Situation&p_d ocnum=139 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Beograd Network. (1992, June). *Milosevic comments on elections, sanctions*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-12323ED6E9EFC760@2448776-12323EE278214340@39-12323EE2B2BC0598@Milosevic%20Comments%20on%20Elections%2C%20Sanctions &p_docnum=140 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: London ITV Television Network. (1992, June). *Milosevic discusses sanctions, resignation option*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-12323FAD74058528@2448778-12323FC0B8969A50@66-12323FC10585E508@Milosevic%20Discusses%20Sanctions%2C%20Resignation%200 ption&p_docnum=142 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris Le Figaro. (1992, July). *President denies blame for bosnian conflict.* Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbankcom.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-1231CAA52F536AE0@2448826-1231CAAF94B58768@28-

1231CAAFD8D281E8@President%20Denies%20Blame%20for%20Bosnian%20Conflict &p_docnum=149 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris Le Figaro. (1992, June). *President views intervention in Bosnian conflict*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F

BISX-1242B652C461F2C0@2448800-1242B658F238D3A8@19-

1242B6593452E5C0@President%20Views%20Intervention%20in%20Bosnian%20Confli ct&p_docnum=147 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, June). *Milosevic interviewed on regional issues*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=I65K58BTMTU1NT UzMDk2NS45Mzc0NDg6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=doc &s_lastnonissuequeryname=2&p_queryname=2&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@F BISX-123244DBB70B1080@2448805-123244E6F7555820@38-123244E73B55B280@Milosevic%20Interviewed%20on%20Regional%20Issues&p_docn um=148 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, August). *Milosevic discusses world view*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12324DE349C480E8@2448863-12324DE6B9AFFD78@13-12324DE6F0211B20@Milosevic%20Discusses%20World%20View&p_docnum=154 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, August). *Milosevic views Bosnia situation*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231CCABE457F098@2448866-1231CCBA58310228@44-1231CCBABB5EC6B8@Milosevic%20Views%20Bosnia%20Situation&p_docnum=157 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Politika. (1992, August). *Milosevic: Serbs not fighting for borders*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12325116F10C2ED0@2448889-1232511F681BA3A0@30-

1232511FC82A7C10@Milosevic%3A%20Serbs%20Not%20Fighting%20for%20Borders &p_docnum=160 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, September). *Milosevic comments on talks with Owen, Vance*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-123251D074707BF8@2448895-123251DC09201710@41-123251DC2DB85498@Milosevic%20Comments%20on%20Talks%20With%20Owen%2 C%20Vance&p_docnum=161 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, October). *Milosevic views sanctions, other issues*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231B662EBC49700@2448909-1231B66E1B90DE98@36-1231B66E6DFEFA88@Milosevic%20Views%20Sanctions%2C%20Other%20Issues&p_ docnum=166 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1992, December). *Milosevic discusses Greek role in Balkan conflict*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12325A854AC01EF8@2448971-12325A9B8DA8BC10@76-12325A9BCD0F2B90@Milosevic%20Discusses%20Greek%20Role%20in%20Balkan%2 0Conflict&p_docnum=178 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris France-2 Television Network. (1992, December). *Milosevic discusses foreign intervention, Kosovo*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231BB86589A7258@2448972-1231BB99B69772D8@52-1231BB99F39AAD98@Milosevic%20Discusses%20Foreign%20Intervention%2C%20K osovo&p_docnum=180 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Paris Le Quotidien De Paris. (1992, December). *Milosevic on embargo, Bosnian conflict*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231BCA6F6B79950@2448974-1231BCB4D1AE5E00@47-1231BCB51F71E570@Milosevic%20on%20Embargo%2C%20Bosnian%20Conflict&p_ docnum=182 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, January). *Milosevic comments on talks*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-122CCFFCF0B9FFC0@2449000-122CCFFE856D7EF0@6122CCFFEB7E29078@Milosevic%20Comments%20on%20Talks&p_docnum=186 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Beograd Network. (1993, January). *Milosevic assesses talks*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231BED06091AD50@2449001-1231BED1F3866630@6-1231BED247F70C18@Milosevic%20Assesses%20Talks&p_docnum=188 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Rome L'Espresso. (1993, January). *Milosevic advocates partition of Bosnia*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12CC8038F94D4020@2449007-12CC804C029F0158@61-

12CC804C2AC47898@Milosevic%20Advocates%20Partition%20of%20Bosnia&p_docn um=190 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Istanbul Hurriyet. (1993, March). *Milosevic interviewed on war, ties with Turkey*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231C73938A80358@2449051-1231C74E98377A68@68-1231C74ECC5370F8@Milosevic%20Interviewed%20on%20War%2C%20Ties%20With %20Turkey&p_docnum=199 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Beograd Network. (1993, May). *Milosevic assesses Athens talks*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231D4FFC6407E90@2449111-1231D5110EABFF28@58-

1231D5114EE67400@Milosevic%20Assesses%20Athens%20Talks&p_docnum=218 [Accessed 13 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, May). *Milosevic praises Kozryev*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@

FBISX-1231DA03BAF1AD08@2449127-1231DA15B97394A0@57-1231DA15EF8056E0@Milosevic%20Praises%20Kozyrev&p_docnum=225 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, May). *Milosevic's 'principled stance' on border observers*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231DBE5EC8585A0@2449134-1231DBF241FE2990@40-1231DBF278217608@Milosevic%27s%20%27Principled%20Stance%27%20on%20Bord er%20Observers&p_docnum=227 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, July). *Milosevic on agreement*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-123201B9461F65E8@2449202-123201BCD528CB38@11-123201BD103D8820@Milosevic%20on%20Agreement&p_docnum=244 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, August). *Milosevic discusses agreement*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=4&p_queryname=4&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231B55846D77700@2449113-1231B559E3CD7F30@6-1231B55A22C9CEB8@Milosevic%3A%20All%20Questions%20Discussed&p_docnum= 1 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Beograd Network. (1993, August). *Milosevic: all questions discussed*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-123207A6EDC5A6C0@2449223-123207A7D0DB27B0@4-123207A8131A32A0@Milosevic%20Discusses%20Agreement&p_docnum=250 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade Radio Beograd Network. (1993, September). *Milosevic breakdown*. Retrieved from https://infowebnewsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12320B48C3831028@2449233-12320B4AECF8D528@812320B4B492CC910@Milosevic%20on%20Breakdown&p_docnum=253 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, September). *Milosevic, Owen interviewed*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12321002932BBED0@2449251-12321003678B7578@4-12321003B222FDD0@Milosevic%2C%20Owen%20Interviewed&p_docnum=254 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RTB Television Network. (1993, November). *Milosevic addresses current issues*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231B9A88BAE8A20@2449297-1231B9B1B7B00310@30-1231B9B204616238@Milosevic%20Addresses%20Current%20Issues&p_docnum=258 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Novi Sad TVNS Television Network. (1993, November). *Milosevic views 'realistic' talks*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iwsearch/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-12321CA91B71B608@2449322-12321CAB5A1A5B98@9-12321CABA0CB3BB0@Milosevic%20Views%20%27Realistic%27%20Talks&p_docnu m=263 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019]. Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) Daily Reports: Belgrade RIB Television Network. (1994, October). *Milosevic urges Bosnian Serbs to end war*. Retrieved from https://infoweb-newsbank-com.ezproxy.st-andrews.ac.uk/iw-

search/we/HistArchive/?p_product=FBISX&p_theme=fbis&p_nbid=R5FE51NMMTU1N TU4MzY0OS40NDg4ODI6MToxMzoxMzguMjUxLjE0LjM1&d_db=FBIS&p_action=d oc&s_lastnonissuequeryname=1&p_queryname=1&p_docref=v2:11C33B0D5F860D98@ FBISX-1231BC2985E80A08@2449647-1231BC36AD58F2A0@41-

1231BC3701EDA700@Milosevic%20Urges%20Bosnian%20Serbs%20To%20End%20W ar&p_docnum=306 [Accessed 15 Feb. 2019].

Appendix A.

Content Analysis Results: Saddam Hussein (17/07/1979-29/08/1987)

	Transcript Type	No. of words	Belief in Control Over	Need for Power	Self- Confidence	Conceptual Complexity	Ingroup Bias	Distrust of Others	Task Focus
Date	G 1	2(00	Events						
17 Isalaa	Speech	2698							
July 1979			0.4409	0.3958	0.2235	0.4922	0.2632	0.1146	0.5564
1979	Interview	801	0.4409	0.3938	0.2233	0.4922	0.2032	0.1140	0.5504
July		001							
1979			0.1842	0.1892	0.8571	0.5818	0.15	0.1379	0.5484
8	Speech	3777							
Aug									
1979	.	2015	0.3229	0.375	0.3333	0.5306	0.1875	0.319	0.4074
17 Oct	Interview	3815							
1979			0.4	0.2169	0.3636	0.5817	0.0606	0.124	0.6525
21	Speech	1831	0.1	0.2109	0.5050	0.5017	0.0000	0.121	0.0525
Nov	1								
1979			0.2889	0.3043	0.375	0.5361	0.127	0.2778	0.5281
6 Jan	Speech	2480							
1980	G 1	1026	0.3636	0.4857	0	0.5192	0.186	0.2623	0.5776
8 Feb	Speech	1026							
1980			0.1538	0.2308	0	0.6	0.0833	0.2812	0.3684
25	Speech	2102	0.1550	0.2500	0	0.0	0.0055	0.2012	0.5001
Mar	1								
1980			0.0667	0.375	0	0.5412	0.3429	0.3289	0.4958
1	Speech	454							
April			0.5222	0.2		0.4000	0.0500	0.2470	0.2125
<u>1980</u> 15	Speech	3437	0.5333	0.2	1	0.4286	0.0588	0.3478	0.3125
April	speech	5457							
1980			0.5094	0.1509	0.4783	0.6296	0.0244	0.093	0.3118
24	Speech	1030							
April	_								
1980			0.4545	0.1364	0	0.5357	0.037	0.1061	0.3182
18	Speech	942							
June 1980			0.3	0.3	0	0.6418	0.3043	0.1714	0.4211
1980	Speech	3238	0.5	0.3	0	0.0418	0.3043	0.1/14	0.4211
Jul	Specch	5250							
1980			0.2881	0.5254	0	0.4845	0.2464	0.1892	0.5399
20	Speech	2285							
Aug									
1980		0.162	0.3	0.2821	0	0.6266	0.0714	0.1034	0.5333
25	Speech	2463							
Aug			0.2787	0.2881	0	0.6364	0.1159	0.196	0.5312
1980		1	0.2/8/	0.2881	0	0.0304	0.1159	0.186	0.3312

17	Speech	4080							
Sep	-		0.0000	0.541	0	0.5050	0.0105	0.0(0)	0.6045
1980 21	Speech	391	0.3333	0.541	0	0.5879	0.2195	0.3636	0.6045
Sep	Specen	571							
1980			0.2857	0.2857	0	0.7059	0.1304	0	0.3333
4 Nov	Speech	6632							
1980			0.4604	0.2327	0.2222	0.6229	0.0955	0.1882	0.4406
22	Interview	8216							
Jan 1981			0.3411	0.248	0.4605	0.6699	0.1013	0.1155	0.5229
1981	Speech	3514	0.3411	0.248	0.4003	0.0099	0.1015	0.1155	0.3229
Mar	-								
1981 17	Interview	879	0.6344	0.2688	0.2632	0.5941	0.163	0.1607	0.5141
April	Interview	0/9							
1981			0.5152	0.2581	0.25	0.6567	0	0.2	0.5
30 June	Interview	913							
1981			0.5	0.3158	0.8	0.7077	0.0909	0.1739	0.5
30	Interview	3110							
June 1981			0.4762	0.2105	0.6	0.4658	0.0952	0.2105	0.5532
1981	Speech	2487	0.4702	0.2103	0.0	0.4038	0.0932	0.2103	0.3352
Jul	~p····n	2.07							
1981	т	2000	0.5556	0.2105	0.4	0.4296	0.2308	0.43	0.4167
9 Sep	Interview	3909							
1981			0.3946	0.1888	0.3793	0.6311	0.168	0.2755	0.6024
5 Nor	Speech	594							
Nov 1981			0.3889	0.2222	0.5	0.6667	0.15	0.0909	0.4615
6 Jan	Speech	7404							
1982	G 1	1144	0.3878	0.4727	0.3333	0.5773	0.2614	0.3974	0.4416
24 Feb	Speech	1144							
1982			0.3333	0.1333	0	0.8261	0.2667	0.1515	0.5152
3	Speech	1876							
Mar 1982			0.3864	0.2955	0.2727	0.6196	0.1364	0.0972	0.5833
20	Speech	7798	512501	0.2700	0.2727	0.0170	0.1201	5.6772	
Jun			0.0100	0.1551		0.5000	o 	0.0505	0.6255
<u>1982</u> 15	Speech	700	0.3193	0.4574	0.3636	0.5909	0.25	0.3735	0.6355
Aug	Speccii	/00							
1982			0.3333	0.3125	0.1667	0.6296	0	0.0714	0.6111
14 Sep	Interview	2114							
1982			0.2586	0.1607	0.4375	0.5729	0.1129	0.1408	0.6882
2 Jan	Interview	6427							
1983	Succel	784	0.3378	0.1209	0.4732	0.6681	0.0435	0.1799	0.6308
19 Jan	Speech	/ 84							
1983			0.375	0.1176	0.2632	0.4444	0.5	0.2	0.4231

16	Speech	1198							
April									
1983	T	097(0.359	0.275	0.2222	0.5172	0.0652	0.1556	0.3864
27 April	Interview	9876							
1983			0.328	0.2679	0.2848	0.5906	0.1181	0.253	0.5513
17	Speech	7900							
Jul			0.4065	0.4065	0 4001	0.5100	0.04(0	0.0000	0.4700
1983 14	Interview	4768	0.4065	0.4065	0.4091	0.5188	0.2463	0.2986	0.4732
Oct	Interview	7/00							
1983			0.296	0.3033	0.6897	0.6991	0.1533	0.1215	0.5562
6	Speech	1132							
Sep 1983			0.3462	0.4167	0.3333	0.5227	0.2759	0.2368	0.5667
2	Speech	370	0.3402	0.4107	0.3333	0.3227	0.2739	0.2308	0.3007
Nov	~p••••	0,0							
1983			0.1538	0.3077	0.3333	0.3333	0.1818	0.1765	0.2941
7 Jan 1984	Speech	797	0.2	0.08	0.7143	0.6364	0.04	0 2667	0.75
9	Speech	512	0.2	0.08	0.7143	0.0304	0.04	0.2667	0.75
April	Specen	512							
1984			0.3636	0	0	0.8611	0	0.4583	0.4615
3	Interview	4529							
May 1984			0.38	0.2905	0.2833	0.6456	0.0916	0.1124	0.6883
20	Interview	546	0.50	0.2903	0.2055	0.0150	0.0710	0.1121	0.0005
May									
1984	G 1	(77	0.4737	0.2105	0	0.5758	0.12	0.1176	0.5625
7 Mar	Speech	675							
1985			0.4286	0.3333	0	0.6757	0.1176	0	0.4444
31	Interview	2554							
July			0.1005	0.0055	0.4	0.6600	0 1004	0.0(00	0.000
1985 22	Speech	1010	0.1905	0.2955	0.4	0.6682	0.1304	0.2632	0.6833
Jan	speech	1010							
1986			0.4286	0.2381	0.2222	0.4627	0.1935	0.4167	0.4
5	Interview	3183							
Feb			0.3	0.2342	0.3902	0.6683	0 1 1 7	0 2204	0 5565
1986 31	Interview	846	0.3	0.2342	0.3902	0.0083	0.117	0.2394	0.5565
July									
1987			0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5185	0.3	0.125	0.6809
29	Speech	1840							
Aug 1987			0.29	0.463	1	0.6364	0.2432	0 1246	0.5699
198/	L	1	0.38	0.403	1	0.0304	0.2432	0.1346	0.3099

Appendix B.

Content Analysis Results: Slobodan Milošević (09/05/1989-20/10/1994)

	Transcript Type	No. of words	Belief in Control Over	Need for Power	Self- Confidence	Conceptual Complexity	Ingroup Bias	Distrust of Others	Task Focus
Date			Events						
9	Speech	861							
May			0.2520	0.52(2	0.25	0.5517	0.0052	0	0.9050
1989 25	Speech	4212	0.3529	0.5263	0.25	0.5517	0.0952	0	0.8056
June	speech	4212							
1990			0.3171	0.325	0.5	0.5121	0.1591	0.1484	0.7477
17	Speech	1191	010171	0.020		010121	001091	011101	017177
July	1								
1990			0.3571	0.2143	0.5	0.5172	0.1538	0.0811	0.7609
7	Speech	1179							
Sept			0.0.00	0.500.6	0	0.5(50)	0.1	0.0000	0.5105
1990 12	Interview	2018	0.2692	0.5926	0	0.5679	0.1	0.2222	0.7105
July	Interview	2018							
1989			0.2745	0.2157	0.7619	0.5155	0.1316	0.1875	0.7206
10	Interview	1157	0.27.10	0.2107	017019	010100	001010	0.1070	017200
Sept									
1989			0.1875	0.2	0.3571	0.5352	0	0.36	0.8
22	Interview	4102							
Dec			0.4104	0 4222	0.4110	0.5526	0.2125	0.1400	0 7021
1989 7	Interview	416	0.4194	0.4333	0.4118	0.5536	0.3125	0.1429	0.7031
June	Interview	410							
1991			0.25	0	0.5	0.5185	0.0909	0.0833	0.8667
7	Interview	1693	0.20		0.0	010100	0.09.09	0.00000	0.0007
Aug									
1991			0.12	0.2653	0.6875	0.4821	0.186	0.1667	0.7727
19	Interview	340							
Sep			0.25	0.(25	0.9222	0.5	0	0 2222	0.75
1991 10	Interview	412	0.25	0.625	0.8333	0.5	0	0.3333	0.75
Oct	Interview	412							
1991			0.3571	0.3077	0.625	0.5882	0.1667	0.2143	0.6316
15	Interview	149					/		
Oct									
1991			0	0.5	1	0.0909	0	0	0.2
25	Interview	205							
Oct			0.2222	0 4 4 4 4	0.000	0.4667	0.125		0.6
1991 18	Interview	462	0.3333	0.4444	0.6667	0.4667	0.125	0	0.6
18 Nov	merview	402							
1991			0.4286	0.2857	0.5	0.3158	0	0	0.4444

2	Interview	144							
Dec									
1991			0.4	0.2	0.8	0.2	0	0	0.5
7 Dec	Interview	54							
1991			0.3333	0	0.5	0.25	0	0	0.5
30	Interview	4032	0.0000	0	0.0	0.20	Ŭ	Ŭ	0.0
Dec									
1991	T	504	0.2784	0.2526	0.3908	0.5673	0.1429	0.145	0.7191
31 Dec	Interview	504							
1991			0.3684	0.1765	0.8182	0.4643	0	0.0769	0.5263
9	Interview	205							
Jan									
1992	T	4001	0.4286	0.4286	1	0.5789	0	0.25	0.5
28 May	Interview	4991							
1992			0.3393	0.2895	0.7436	0.5756	0.1441	0.1833	0.6812
1	Interview	341					-		
Jun							<u>_</u>		0.5
1992 3	Interview	455	0.2	0.2	1	0.3871	0	0	0.5
5 Jun	Interview	433							
1992			0.44	0.28	0.6	0.3684	0.069	0.1429	0.5172
20	Interview	2368							
Jul			0.24	0.0000	0.5405	0.5021	0.1204	0.0017	0 (11 5
1992 25	Interview	1161	0.34	0.2292	0.5405	0.5031	0.1304	0.0917	0.6415
Jun	Interview	1101							
1992			0.3846	0.4286	0.375	0.4742	0.0909	0.0189	0.625
27	Interview	3751							
Jun			0.2070	0.4469	0.5556	0.5116	0 1071	0 1452	0.5100
1992 27	Interview	865	0.3978	0.4468	0.5556	0.5116	0.1071	0.1453	0.5122
Aug		005							
1992			0.3333	0.3684	0.8182	0.375	0.3846	0.0645	0.6923
28	Interview	775							
Aug 1992			0.5	0.2903	0.3182	0.4026	0.1818	0.3333	0.6087
30	Interview	695	0.5	0.2903	0.5162	0.4020	0.1010	0.5555	0.0087
Aug	inter view	075							
1992			0.1176	0.3125	0.6429	0.3409	0	0.1154	0.4722
28	Interview	889							
Sep 1992			0.3158	0.3889	0.4444	0.5128	0.3333	0.04	0.7826
9	Interview	7725	0.3138	0.3009	0.4444	0.3128	0.3333	0.04	0.7820
Oct		,,20							
1992			0.3208	0.2297	0.5407	0.6021	0.1393	0.1226	0.6828
11 D	Interview	626							
Dec 1992			0.1667	0.4706	1	0.4808	0.3333	0.2381	0.1429
1992	Interview	305	0.1007	0.7700	1	0.4000	0.3333	0.2301	0.1727
Dec									
1992			0.2222	0.2222	0.8	0.5	0.1667	0.4	0.6471

Interview	481							
	101							
		0.4211	0.2222	0.6	0.4848	0.35	0.25	0.6154
Interview	243							
		0.5	0.4286	1	0 2222	0 21/13	0	0.5333
Interview	1110	0.5	0.4280	1	0.2222	0.2143	0	0.5555
		0.3214	0.3333	0.4	0.4535	0.2	0.1333	0.7069
Interview	304							
		0 375	0 375	0 1429	0 5455	0	0	0.5
Interview	1077	0.375	0.375	0.1427	0.5455	0	0	0.5
		0.2759	0.1724	0.5	0.5362	0.0345	0.1905	0.7222
Interview	1485							
		0.2857	0 3214	0.6	0 5574	0 4286	0 1034	0.6212
Interview	566	0.2057	0.3214	0.0	0.3374	0.7200	0.1034	0.0212
		0.2308	0.4615	0.5714	0.413	0.3333	0.1429	0.4211
Interview	694							
		0.4	0.5	0 5714	0 5714	0 3571	0.0435	0.4255
Interview	418	0.1	0.5	0.0711	0.0711	0.5571	0.0155	0.1235
		0.1	0.2222	0.8	0.2895	0.2	0.5	0.5484
Interview	283							
		0 3077	0 1 5 3 8	0.6667	0 3871	0	0	0.7222
Interview	815	0.2077	0.1220	0.0007	0.5071	Ŭ		0.7222
-	10.6	0.3824	0.2059	0.6875	0.3148	0.1304	0	0.6279
Interview	196							
		0.3333	0.3333	1	0.5333	0	0	0.7778
Interview	310			1				
T	500	0.3	0.3333	0.6667	0.3462	0.25	0	0.9286
Interview	582							
		0.1364	0.4091	0.5	0.38	0.2	0	0.6389
Interview	325							
Testarreit	10070	0.2	0.5455	0.6667	0.5714	0.4286	0.0909	0.5833
Interview	10060							
		0.2574	0.2814	0.4402	0.5739	0.2018	0.1667	0.6731
Interview	937							
						0.0000	0.00	
Cusal	174	0.2632	0.3684	1	0.589	0.0909	0.0952	0.8
speecn	1/4							
		0.4545	0.4545	0	0.5116	0.4	0.375	0.6364
	Interview	Interview243Interview1110Interview304Interview1077Interview1485Interview566Interview694Interview418Interview815Interview196Interview310Interview582Interview325Interview10060Interview937	Interview 243 Interview 1110 Interview 1110 Interview 304 Interview 304 Interview 304 Interview 1077 Interview 1077 Interview 1485 Interview 694 Interview 694 Interview 694 Interview 418 Interview 418 Interview 418 Interview 310 Interview 310 Interview 325 Intervie	Interview 243 0.2222 Interview 243 0.5 0.4286 Interview 1110 0.3214 0.3333 Interview 304 0.375 0.375 Interview 304 0.2759 0.1724 Interview 1077 0.2759 0.1724 Interview 1485 0.2857 0.3214 Interview 566 0.2857 0.3214 Interview 566 0.2308 0.4615 Interview 694 0.4 0.5 Interview 418 0.1 0.2222 Interview 418 0.1 0.2222 Interview 815 0.3077 0.1538 Interview 815 0.3333 0.3333 Interview 310 0.3333 0.3333 Interview 582 0.1364 0.4091 Interview 325 0.2 0.5455 Interview 325 0.2 0.2814 <	Interview 243 Image: constraint of the sector of the sect	Interview 243 0.4211 0.2222 0.6 0.4848 Interview 1110 0.5 0.4286 1 0.2222 Interview 1110 0.3333 0.4 0.4235 Interview 304 0.3333 0.4 0.4535 Interview 304 0.375 0.375 0.1429 0.5455 Interview 1077 0.2759 0.1724 0.5 0.5362 Interview 1077 0.2857 0.3214 0.6 0.5574 Interview 1485 0.2857 0.3214 0.6 0.5574 Interview 566 0 0.2308 0.4615 0.5714 0.413 Interview 694 0.4 0.5 0.5714 0.5714 Interview 418 0.1 0.2222 0.8 0.2895 Interview 815 0.6667 0.3871 Interview 815 0.6667 0.3148 Interview 310 0.3333	Interview 243 0.4211 0.2222 0.6 0.4848 0.35 Interview 243 0.5 0.4286 1 0.2222 0.2143 Interview 1110 0.3214 0.3333 0.4 0.4535 0.2 Interview 304 0.3214 0.3333 0.4 0.4535 0 Interview 304 0.375 0.375 0.1429 0.5455 0 Interview 1077 0.375 0.374 0.5 0.5362 0.0345 Interview 1077 0.2759 0.1724 0.5 0.5574 0.4286 Interview 1485 0.2857 0.3214 0.6 0.5574 0.4286 Interview 566 0.2018 0.5714 0.5114 0.3333 Interview 694 0.222 0.8 0.2895 0.2 Interview 815 0.6667 0.3871 0 Interview 815 0.3333 0.6667 0.3148	Interview 243 0.4211 0.2222 0.6 0.4848 0.35 0.25 Interview 1110 0.5 0.4286 1 0.2222 0.2143 0 Interview 1110 0.3313 0.4 0.4535 0.2 0.1333 Interview 304 0 0.375 0.375 0.1429 0.5455 0 0 Interview 1077 0.2759 0.1724 0.5 0.5362 0.0345 0.1905 Interview 1485 0.2857 0.3214 0.6 0.5574 0.4286 0.1049 Interview 566 0.2308 0.4615 0.5714 0.413 0.3333 0.1429 Interview 566 0.2308 0.4615 0.5714 0.413 0.3333 0.1429 Interview 694 0.4 0.5 0.5714 0.413 0.3333 0.1429 Interview 418 0.3 0.5714 0.5714 0.5714 0.61 0.5 </td